arXiv:1405.0045v1 [math.CO] 30 Apr 2014

Generalized Skew Hadamard Difference Sets

Carlos Salazar-Lazaro

May 2, 2014

Abstract

A skew Hadamard difference set (SHDS) is a difference set that satisfies the skew condition $D + D^{-1} = G - [1]$. It is known that if a group G admits a skew hadamard difference set, then $|G| = p^{2\alpha+1} = 3 \bmod 4$. We will generalize skew Hadamard difference sets to Generalized Skew Hadamard Difference Sets (GSHDS) to cover the case $p = 1 \bmod 4$, and we will extend all known results that yield necessary existence conditions of skew Hadamard difference sets to our generalization, including the known exponent bounds. We will also show a set of necessary existence conditions for the family of groups $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1} \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. These conditions will be given as integral solutions to non-trivial equations in the group algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha}$. We will close the article with a general p-divisibility condition of the difference intersection numbers $\nu_{G,L}(h, D) = |D \cap hL| - |D^{(n_0)} \cap hL|$ of a GSHDS for a special class of subgroups L.

1 Introduction

We will assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of Difference Sets as it can be found in Jungnickel's book in [4], and in Lander's book in [7]. Also, we will assume that G is an abelian group, and we will use the additive notation for its group operation. Additionally, for an arbitrary element $A = \sum_{g \in G} a_g[g] \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ in the group algebra of G, we will use the notation $A(x)$ to represent,

$$A(x) \quad = \quad \sum_{g \in D} a_g x^g$$

We will view terms of the form $A(x)$ as elements of the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ of G. Also, given an automorphism $\sigma \in Aut(G)$, we define $A(x^\sigma)$ as,

$$A(x^\sigma) \quad = \quad \sum_{g \in D} a_g x^{\sigma(g)}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} A(x^{-1}) &= A(x^{\mu_{-1}}) \\ &= \sum_{g\in D} a_g x^{\mu_{-1}(g)} \\ &= \sum_{g\in D} a_g x^{-g} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by $A(x^n)$ we will mean the sum $\sum_{g\in G} a_g x^{n\cdot g}$, where $n\cdot g = g+\cdots+g$ is g summed n times using the sum operation of G. Using the notation of $A(x)$, we will denote by $[1]$ the term x^0 where 0 is the identity element of G. Additionally, whenever $A(x)$ represents a subset of G (i.e. the $a_g \in \{0,1\}$), we will use the notation $A^{(n)}$ to denote the set represented by $A(x^n)$.

Skew Hadamard Difference Sets (SHDS) are subsets D of an abelian group G such that in the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[G]$:

$$\begin{aligned} D(x)D(x^{-1}) &= (k-\lambda)[1] + \lambda G(x), \\ D(x) + D(x^{-1}) &= G(x) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Skew Hadamard difference sets are conjectured to exist only in elementary p-abelian groups.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let G admit a SHDS. Then, $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$ where $p = 3$ mod 4.*

The following is what is known about the existence problem for SHDSs. This can be found in Chen, Sehgal, and Xiang's paper in [3].

Theorem 1.2. *A SHDS has parameters $(v,k,\lambda) = (v, \frac{v-1}{2}, \frac{v-3}{4})$. Also, if $D \subset G$ is a SHDS, and G is abelian, then:*

1. *The order of G satisfies $v = p^{2\alpha+1}$, where $p = 3$ mod 4,*
2. *The set D is invariant under the action of the quadratic residues. That is, for $(n,p) = 1$ and $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 1$, $D(x^n) = D(x)$,*
3. *If χ is any nonprincipal character, then:*

$$\chi(D) = \frac{-1+\epsilon_\chi\sqrt{-v}}{2},$$

   where $\epsilon_\chi \in \{+1,-1\}$,

4. *If $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_2}\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_r}\mathbb{Z}$ where: $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_r$ and $a_1 \geq 2$, then $a_1 = a_2$.*

Theorem 1.3. *(Johnsen's Exponent Bound) Let D be a SHDS in the abelian p-group G of $exp(G) = p^s$ and with $|G| = p^{2\alpha+1}$. Then, $s \leq \alpha+1$.*

Theorem 1.4. *(Chen-Xiang-Sehgal's Exponent Bound) Let D be a SHDS in the abelian p-group G of $exp(G) = p^s$ and with $|G| = p^{2\alpha+1}$. Then, $s \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{2}$.*

We will introduce the concept of a Generalized Skew Hadamard Difference Set (GSHDS) to generalize the results known for SHDSs.

Definition 1.5. *A* Generalized Skew Hadamard Difference Set *(GSHDS) is an element of the group algebra $D(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} D(x)D(x^{n_0}) &= (k_0 - \lambda)[1] + \lambda G(x), & (1)\\ D(x) + D(x^{n_0}) &= G(x) - [1], & (2) \end{aligned}$$

where $[1] = x^0$ is the multiplicative unit of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, $k_0 = |D(x) \cap D(x^{-n_0})|$, and n_0 is some Quadratic Non-Residue of $(\mathbb{Z}/exp(G)\mathbb{Z})^*$ for which equation(2) holds.

The number n_0 will be assumed to be a fixed Quadratic Non-Residue of $(\mathbb{Z}/exp(G)\mathbb{Z})^*$ for which Equations (1) and (2) hold, and χ_0 will be assumed to be the principal character of G. Equation (2) will be called the "skew condition" of D.

Using the language of GSHDSs, we extend the existence conjecture of SHDS to GSHDS.

Conjecture 1.6. *If G is an abelian group that affords a GSHDS, then $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$.*

We will prove the analogue of Theorem 1.2 for GSHDSs including the known exponent bounds. We will also show the following existence conditions for the family of groups $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$.

Proposition 1.7. *Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times H$, $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$, $L = p \cdot H = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$, and $K = \frac{L}{(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})} = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha}$. Assume that $D \subset G$ is a GSHDS. Let $D' = H \cap D$, $D'' = L \cap D$. There is an element $L_0(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[K]$ that depends on the structure of G, and there are elements $A(x), B(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[K]$ that depend on D such that,*

1. *The following holds,*

$$L_0(x) * L_0(x^{-1}) = p^{4\alpha-1}[1] - p^{2\alpha-1}K(x)$$

2. *If $\alpha = 1$, then D'' is a GSHDS in L.*

3. *The following holds for $A(x), B(x), L_0(x)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} p^{2\alpha}A(x) &= \chi_0(A)K(x) + L_0(x) * B(x^{-1})\\ \chi_0(A) &= p^{\alpha-1}\epsilon_0 b_0\\ p^{2\alpha}B(x) &= \chi_0(B)K(x) + pL_0(x) * A(x^{-1})\\ \chi_0(B) &= p^{\alpha}\epsilon_0 a_0 \end{aligned}$$

Where, $\epsilon_0 \in \{-1, 1\}$, χ_0 is the principal character, a_0, b_0 are odd numbers, and all the coefficients of $A(x), B(x)$ are odd.

The proof of Proposition (1.7) will yield an explicit formula for $L_0(x)$ in terms of the structure of G. We leave as an open problem the explicit calculation of L_0 in terms of p and α.

We will then close this article with a p divisibility condition on the Difference Intersection numbers of D.

Definition 1.8. *Let G be an abelian p-group, and $L \subset G$ a subgroup. Assume that $G = g_1L \cup g_2L \cup \cdots \cup g_hL$. Then, the Difference Intersection Number $\nu_{G,L}(g_i, D)$ of D at g_i with respect to L is,*

$$\nu_{G,L}(g_i, D) \quad = \quad |D \cap g_iL| - |D^{(n_0)} \cap g_iL|$$

Proposition 1.9. *Let D be a GSHDS in G. Assume that $exp(G) = p^s$, and $k \leq s-1$. Consider the map $\mu_{p^k} : G \to G$ by $\mu_{p^k}(g) = p^k \cdot g$. Let $L = Ker(\mu_{p^k}) = \{g \in G \mid p^k \cdot g = 0\}$, and $H = \mu_{p^k}(G) = p^k \cdot G$. Then,*

1. *There are integer constants $a_{p^k}, b_{p^k}, c_{p^k}$ such that,*

$$D(x)^{p^k} \quad = \quad c_{p^k}[1] + a_{p^k}D(x) + b_{p^k}D(x^{n_0})$$

2. *Let $D_H = D \cap H$, assume the coset decomposition $G = L \cup g_1L \cup \cdots \cup g_rL$. Let $h_i = \mu_{p^k}(g_i)$, and assume that $h_{i_1}, \ldots, h_{i_t}$ is a set of $H_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/exp(H)\mathbb{Z})^*$ orbit representatives. Then, the following holds in $\mathbb{Z}[H]$,*

$$\begin{aligned}(a_{p^k} - b_{p^k})(D_H(x) - D_H(x^{n_0})) \quad &= \quad \sum_{k=1}^{t} \nu_{G,L}(g_{i_k}, D)(O_{h_{i_k}}(x) - O_{h_{i_k}}(x^{n_0})) \\ &\quad +p^k(C_H(x) - C_H(x^{n_0}))\end{aligned}$$

   Where O_{h_i} is the $H_2 = (\mathbb{Z}/exp(H)\mathbb{Z})^{*2}$ orbit of $h_i \in H$, and $C_H \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$.

3. *The exact power of p dividing $a_{p^k} - b_{p^k}$ is p^k.*

4. *The Difference Intersection numbers $\nu_{G,L}(h_i, D)$ are divisible by p^k.*

2 Generalized Skew Hadamard Difference Sets

We will assume that G is an abelian group, and we will use the additive notation for its group operation. Using the definition of generalized skew Hadamard difference sets, we will derive the results that will yield a generalization of theorem 1.2.

The study of generalized skew Hadamard difference sets is motivated by the existence problem of skew Hadamard difference sets and the extension of the known necessary existence conditions to the case when $p \neq 3 \bmod 4$. However, we note that Lam has considered a similar generalization for cyclic difference sets, where only Equation (1) is forced to hold, the skew condition is violated, and G is a cyclic group. We refer the reader to [5] and [6] for Lam's results.

A consequence of the definition of GSHDS is that the algebra generated by $\{[1], D(x), D(x^{n_0})\}$ in the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is a 3-dimensional association scheme. We refer the reader to [1] for an introduction to the field of association schemes. The following result classifies GSHDSs as SHDSs or partial difference sets with Paley parameters according to the value of k_0 of Definition (1.5). We refer the reader to Jungnickel's book on difference sets in [4] for an introduction to Paley type partial difference sets.

Proposition 2.1. *Let D be a GSHDS. Let $A(D) = \langle [1], D(x), D(x^{n_0})\rangle$. Then,*

1. *The algebra $A(D)$ is a 3-dimensional association scheme.*
2. *The values of k_0 are 0 or k, where k is the size of the set D.*
3. *If $k_0 = 0$, then D is a Paley type partial difference set with parameters $(v, \frac{v-1}{2}, \frac{v-5}{4}, \frac{v-1}{4})$.*
4. *If $k_0 = k$, then D is skew Hadamard difference set with parameters $(v, \frac{v-1}{2}, \frac{v-3}{4})$.*

Proof. Clearly, by definition of D, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D(x)D(x^{n_0}) &= k_0[1] + \lambda D(x) + \lambda D(x^{n_0}) && (3)\\ D(x)D(x) &= (k-k_0)[1] + (k-1-\lambda)D(x) + (k-\lambda)D(x^{n_0}) && (4)\\ D(x^{n_0})D(x^{n_0}) &= (k-k_0)[1] + (k-\lambda)D(x) + (k-1-\lambda)D(x^{n_0}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $A(D)$ is a 3-dimensional algebra. It suffices to show that $\{D(x^{-1}), D(x^{-n_0})\} = \{D(x), D(x^{n_0})\}$ in order to show that $A(D)$ is an association scheme. Consider Equation (3) as an equation of sets. Clearly, this equation yields:

$$k^2 = k_0 + \lambda 2k$$

Thus, $k_0 \leq k$ and k divides k_0. This forces $k_0 = 0$ or $k_0 = k$.

Assume that $k_0 = 0$. This forces $D(x^{-1}) = D(x)$ and $D(x^{-n_0}) = D(x^{n_0})$. Thus, $A(D)$ is an association scheme. Note that Equation (4) yields,

$$D(x)D(x^{-1}) = k[1] + (k-1-\lambda)D(x) + (k-\lambda)D(x^{n_0})$$

Thus, D is a partial difference set with parameters $(v, k, k-1-\lambda, k-\lambda)$. By viewing Equation (3) as sets, we deduce a formula for λ.

$$k^2 = \lambda(v-1)$$

Thus, $\lambda = \frac{v-1}{4}$, and $k - \lambda = \frac{v-1}{4}$ and $k - \lambda - 1 = \frac{v-5}{4}$.

Now, assume that $k_0 = k$. This forces $D(x^{-1}) = D(x^{n_0})$ and $D(x^{-n_0}) = D(x)$. Thus, $A(D)$ is an association scheme. Note that Equation (3) yields,

$$D(x)D(x^{-1}) = k[1] + \lambda D(x) + \lambda D(x^{-1})$$

Thus, D is a skew Hadamard difference set with parameters (v, k, λ). By viewing the previous equation as an equation of sets, we deduce that,

$$k^2 = k + \lambda(v-1)$$

Which yields $\lambda = \frac{v-3}{4}$.

□

We note that the well known example of SHDSs given by the quadratic residues in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2\alpha+1}}$ whenever $p = 3 \bmod 4$ also extends to a Paley type partial difference set for the case $p = 1 \bmod 4$. This is given by Example 1 of Leung and Ma's paper in [8]. Hence, the set of GSHDSs is a non-empty set.

Corollary 2.2. *The following hold for $A(D)$,*

1. *The value n_0^2 is a numerical multiplier of D. That is, $D^{(n_0^2)} = D$.*
2. *The character table of $A(D)$ is given by,*

$$\begin{aligned} [1] &= e_{\chi_0} + e_{D_1} + e_{D_2} \\ D(x) &= ke_{\chi_0} + \chi_1(D)e_{D_1} + \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})e_{D_2} \\ D(x^{n_0}) &= ke_{\chi_0} + \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})e_{D_1} + \chi_1(D)e_{D_2} \end{aligned}$$

   Where $\chi_i \in D_i$, $D_i \subset \overline{G}$, $\{e_{\chi_0}, e_{D_1}, e_{D_2}\}$ are the primitive idempotents of $A(D)$, and χ_0 is the principal character.
3. *The sets D_1, D_2 have size k, and $D_2 = D_1^{(n_0)}$.*
4. *The set $\{\chi_1(D), \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})\}$ is the set of distinct character values of D.*
5. *The set D_1 is a GSHDS.*
6. *The set $A(D_1)$ is the dual association scheme of $A(D)$, and $A(D_1)$ is isomorphic to $A(D)$.*

Proof. Clearly, because $D(x) + D(x^{n_0}) = G(x) - [1]$, we must have $D(x^{n_0}) + D(x^{n_0^2}) = G(x) - [1]$. Therefore, $D^{(n_0^2)} = D$. Thus, part 1 follows.

Since $A(D)$ is an association scheme, $A(D)$ has three primitive idempotents. Hence, there exist $D_1, D_2 \subset \overline{G}$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned} [1] &= e_{\chi_0} + e_{D_1} + e_{D_2} \\ D(x) &= ke_{\chi_0} + ae_{D_1} + be_{D_2} \\ D(x^{n_0}) &= ke_{\chi_0} + ce_{D_1} + de_{D_2} \end{aligned}$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{D_i} &= \sum \{e_\chi \mid \chi \in D_i\}, \\ e_\chi &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi(g)} x^g, \end{aligned}$$

and $a = \chi_1(D)$, $b = \chi_2(D)$, $c = \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})$, and $d = \chi_2(D^{(n_0)})$ where $\chi_i \in D_i$. In the standard literature of character theory, e_χ is the primitive idempotent corresponding to χ in $\mathbb{C}[G]$.

Similarly, we can express the primitive idempotents in terms of the basis $\{[1], D(x), D(x^{n_0})\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} ve_{\chi_0} &= [1] + D(x) + D(x^{n_0}) \\ ve_{D_1} &= |D_1|[1] + \overline{a}D(x) + \overline{c}D(x^{n_0}) \\ ve_{D_2} &= |D_2|[1] + \overline{b}D(x) + \overline{d}D(x^{n_0}) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, from the previous equations $e_{D_i}^{(n_0)} \in A(D)$. Also, note that $e_{D_1}^{(n_0)} = e_{D_2}$, else the algebra isomorphism of $A(D)$ induced by n_0 will be the identity isomorphism which will contradict the skew condition for D (Equation (2)). Thus, $D_1^{(n_0)} = D_2$ and it follows that $|D_2| = |D_1| = k$. Since $D_2 = D_1^{(n_0)}$, it follows that $b = \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})$, $d = \chi_1(D)$, and we can choose χ_2 such that $\chi_2 = \mu_{n_0} \cdot \chi_1$. Where $\mu_{n_0} \in Aut(G)$ is defined by $\mu_{n_0}(g) = n_0 \cdot g$ is the induced automorphism of G by n_0 and $\mu_{n_0} \cdot \chi = \chi \circ \mu_{n_0}^{-1}$ is the induced action of $Aut(G)$ on the characters of G. This shows parts 2 and 3.

Now we show that $\chi_1(D) \neq \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})$. If $\chi_1(D) = \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})$, then $ve_{D_1} = k[1] + \overline{\chi_1(D)}(G(x) - [1])$. Note that, since $\chi_1(D)$ is an algebraic integer in the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\eta_{exp(G)})$, it makes sense to talk about the image of $\chi_1(D)$ under the action of the Galois automorphism μ_{n_0} of $\mathbb{Q}(\eta_{exp(G)})$ induced by n_0. That is, the value $\chi_1(D)^{(n_0)}$. Clearly, by a direct calculation we can show that $\chi_1(D)^{(n_0)} = \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})$. Consider, $\chi_2(D) = \mu_{n_0} \cdot \chi_1(D) = \mu_{n_0^{-1} * n_0^2} \cdot \chi_1(D) = \mu_{n_0^{-1}} \cdot \chi_1(D^{(n_0^{-2})}) = \mu_{n_0^{-1}} \cdot \chi_1(D^{(n_0^{-2})}) = \mu_{n_0^{-1}} \cdot \chi_1(D)$ as n_0^2 is a numerical multiplier of D. Hence, $\chi_2(D) = \mu_{n_0^{-1}} \cdot \chi_1(D) = \chi_1(D^{(n_0)}) = \chi_1(D)$. Now, by applying the Galois automorphism μ_{n_0} of $\mathbb{Q}(\eta_{exp(G)})$ to the equation $\chi_2(D) = \chi_1(D)$, we get that, $\chi_1(D^{(n_0)}) = \chi_1(D)^{(n_0)} = \chi_2(D)^{(n_0)} = \overline{\chi_2(D^{(n_0)})}$. Hence, $\chi_2(D^{(n_0)}) = \chi_1(D^{(n_0)}) = \chi_1(D) = \chi_2(D)$, Thus, $ve_{D_2} = k[1] + \overline{\chi_2(D)}(G(x) - [1])$ and since $\chi_2(D) = \chi_1(D)$, we have that $ve_{D_2} = ve_{D_1}$. which contradicts the primitivity of the e_{D_i}'s. Thus, $\chi_1(D), \chi_1(D^{(n_0)})$ are the distinct character values of D. This shows part 4.

By the standard theory of association schemes, the set

$$A(D_1) = \langle \chi_0, D_1, D_2 = D_1^{(n_0)} \rangle,$$

is the dual association scheme of $A(D)$. Note that, by construction, both $A(D_1)$ and $A(D)$ have the same character table. Thus, $A(D_1)$ and $A(D)$ are isomorphic. Thus, $A(D_1)$ and $A(D)$ have the same multiplication tables. In particular, D_1 is a GSHDS. This shows parts 5 and 6.

□

The set D_1 of Corollary (2.2) will be denoted by $\overline{D}$, the dual GSHDS of D. We will show in Proposition (2.8), that

$$\chi(D) = \frac{-1 + \epsilon_\chi \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) v}}{2}$$

Where $\epsilon_\chi \in \{1, -1\}$. Hence, we make the convention that,

$$D_1 \quad = \quad \{\chi \in \overline{G} \mid \chi(D) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)v}}{2}\}$$

It is important to note by the duality between the association schemes $A(D)$ and $A(\overline{D})$, that the dual GSHDS of $\overline{D}$ is D itself.

Corollary 2.3. *Let D be a GSHDS in G, then the dual of the dual of D is D itself. That is, $\overline{\overline{D}} = D$.*

Corollary 2.4. *Let D be a GSHDS in G, and χ, ψ be non-principal characters of G. Let $\Omega(\chi) = \{\chi(D), \chi(D^{(n_0)})\}$ and $\Omega(\psi)$ be defined similarly. Then, $\Omega(\chi) = \Omega(\psi)$ as sets.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for arbitrary non-principal character χ, $\Omega(\chi) = \Omega(\chi_1)$ where $\chi_1 \in D_1$. Clearly, if $\chi \in D_1$, we must have $\Omega(\chi) = \Omega(\chi_1)$. Thus, assume that $\chi \in D_2 = D_1^{(n_0)}$. Then, $\chi = n_0 \cdot \chi_1$. Thus, $\Omega(n_0 \cdot \chi_1) = \{\chi_1(D^{n_0^{-1}}), \chi_1(D)\} = \Omega(\chi_1)$ because $D^{(n_0^{-1})} = D^{(n_0)}$. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let D be a GSHDS in G and χ a non-principal character of G. Then,*

1. *If $k_0 = 0$, then,*

$$\chi(D) \quad = \quad \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{v}}{2}$$

2. *If $k_0 = k$, then,*

$$\chi(D) \quad = \quad \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{-v}}{2}$$

Proof. Clearly, Equation (4) yields,

$$D(x)D(x) + D(x) + (k_0 - \lambda)[1] \quad = \quad (k - \lambda)G(x)$$

Thus, $\chi(D)$ is a root of the equation:

$$X^2 + X + (k_0 - \lambda) \quad = \quad 0$$

The result follows from the values of λ deduced by the previous proposition. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let D be a GSHDS in G. Then, the order of G cannot be a square.*

Proof. Let $|G| = v$. Assume otherwise. Consider the case $k_0 = 0$. Then, clearly by the last Corollary (2.5), $\chi(D)$ is a rational algebraic integer. In particular, $\chi(D)$ is invariant under all Galois automorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}(\eta_{exp(G)})$. Thus,

$$\chi(D)^{(n_0)} \quad = \quad \chi(D)$$

Since $\chi(D)^{(n_0)} = \chi(D(x^{n_0}))$, we must have by Fourier inversion that $D(x) = D(x^{n_0})$. This is a contradiction of the skew condition of D.

Now, consider the case $k_0 = k$. Then, clearly by the last Corollary (2.5), $\chi(D) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}(\eta_4)$. Let l be the order of χ. Clearly, $\chi(D) \in \mathbb{Q}(\eta_l)$. Thus, $\chi(D) \in \mathbb{Q}(\eta_4) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\eta_l) = \mathbb{Q}(\eta_{gcd(l,4)})$. Note that $v = 1$ or $3 \bmod 4$. Thus, $gcd(l, 4) = 1$. Hence, $\chi(D) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, for arbitrary non-principal character χ, $\chi(D)$ is a rational algebraic integer. A similar argument as given by the previous case shows that this violates the skew condition of D. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let D be a GSHDS in G. Then, $|G| = p^{2\alpha+1}$ for some odd prime p.*

Proof. It suffices to show that v cannot be composite. The result will follow by the previous Lemma (2.6). Assume otherwise, that is, that there are two primes p and s that divide v. Let χ be a character of order p and ψ a character of order s. Since $A(D)$ is a association scheme, we must have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{\chi(D), \chi(D^{(n_0)}\} \\ &= \{\psi(D), \psi(D^{(n_0)})\} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{Q}(\eta_p) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\eta_s) = \mathbb{Q}(\eta_{gcd(p,s)}) = \mathbb{Q}$. Thus, Ω is a set of rational algebraic integers. Clearly, because $A(D)$ is an association scheme, for an arbitrary non-principal character ϕ, we must have,

$$\{\phi(D), \phi(D^{(n_0)})\} \quad = \quad \Omega$$

Thus, for arbitrary non-principal character ϕ, $\phi(D)$ is a rational algebraic integer. By using a similar argument as Lemma (2.6), we conclude that this contradicts the skew condition of D. □

Proposition 2.8. *Let D be a GSHDS in G, $|G| = v = p^{2\alpha+1}$, and $exp(G) = p^s$. Then,*

1. *For an arbitrary non-principal character χ of G, we have,*

$$\chi(D) \quad = \quad \frac{-1 + \epsilon_\chi \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) v}}{2}$$

Where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ is the quadratic residue symbol, and $\epsilon_\chi \in \{-1, 1\}$.

2. *The numerical multiplier group of D is given by the quadratic residues mod p^s.*

Proof. Part 1 will follow by showing that $k_0 = 0$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ and $k_0 = k$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$. The result will follow from Corollary (2.5). Clearly, $k_0 = 0$ if and only if $v = p^{2\alpha+1} = 1 \bmod 4$. Since v is an odd prime power, it must follow that $p = 1 \bmod 4$. But, $p = 1 \bmod 4$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$. Thus, $k_0 = 0$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$. A similar argument shows that $k_0 = k$ if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = -1$.

Now we show part 2. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(D) &= \frac{-1+p^{\alpha}\epsilon_{\chi}}{2} + p^{\alpha}\epsilon_{\chi}\frac{-1+\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2} \\ &= a_{\chi} + (2a_{\chi}+1)\omega\end{aligned}$$

Where $a_{\chi} = \frac{-1+p^{\alpha}\epsilon_{\chi}}{2}$ and $\omega = \frac{-1+\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2}$. Clearly, $\chi(D) \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$. Also, note that $\mathbb{Q}(\omega) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\eta_{p^s})$. By Galois theory, there exist $G_2 \subset Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\eta_{p^s}) \mid \mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ is the fixed field of G_2. A direct calculation yields that G_2 is the quadratic residues mod p^s. Thus, $\chi(D)^{(n)} = \chi(D)$ for n a quadratic residue mod p^s. Therefore,

$$\chi(D^{(n)}) = \chi(D)$$

where n a quadratic residue and χ a non-principal character. By Fourier inversion, we must have $D^{(n)} = D$ for n a quadratic residue. □

Lemma 2.9. *Let G admit a GSHDS D. Then, a nonzero (nonidentity) element g can be the difference of two elements of D in at most $\frac{v-1}{4}$ ways.*

Proof. The lemma follows immediately from parts 3 and 4 of Proposition (2.1). □

The following result, shown for skew Hadamard difference sets in [2], is due to Camion and Mann. The same proof as found in [2] suffices to deduce the result for GSHDS. We include this proof for completeness.

Proposition 2.10. *Let G admit a GSHDS D with $exp(G) = p^s$. Then, $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_3}\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_l}\mathbb{Z}$ where $s \geq a_3 \geq \cdots \geq a_l$.*

Proof. Assume that $G = \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_2}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_3}\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{a_l}\mathbb{Z}$, where $s > a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_l$. Let $S = \{(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l) \in G \mid c_1 \text{ is a unit in } \mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}\}$. Clearly, $|S| = v - \frac{v}{p}$. Also, by choosing $b = c_1^{-1} \bmod p$, $1 + bp^{s-1}$ is a quadratic residue mod p^s. Note that, if $g \in S$ then $g - (1 + bp^{s-1})g = (p^{s-1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

Consider $S \cap D$, clearly this set is closed under the action of the quadratic residues mod p^s. Hence, the element $(p^{s-1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ can be written as the difference of two elements $S \cap D$ at least $|S \cap D|$ times.

We proceed to calculate $|S \cap D|$ by observing that S is closed under the action of $G_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^*$. Let $G_2 = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^{*2}$, then every G_1 orbit of S splits into two equally sized orbits of G_2. Since D is the sum of G_2 orbits where each G_2 orbit is picked from exactly one G_1 orbit;[1] we must have $|S \cap D| = \frac{|S|}{2} = \frac{v - \frac{v}{p}}{2}$.

Thus, the element $(p^{s-1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ can be written as the difference of two elements of $S \cap D \subset D$ at least $\frac{v - \frac{v}{p}}{2}$ times. By the previous lemma, this number cannot exceed $\frac{v-1}{4}$. Therefore, we must have:

$$\frac{v - \frac{v}{p}}{2} \leq \frac{v-1}{4}.$$

Hence, we deduce $v(1 - \frac{2}{p}) \leq -1$. Thus, $1 - \frac{2}{p} < 0$, implying $p < 2$. Clearly a contradiction. □

3 Methodology For Showing The Exponent Bounds

In our study of GSHDSs, we introduce the concept of Quadratic Residue Slices (QRS) as they were introduced by Chen-Sehgal-Xiang in [3] for SHDSs.

Definition 3.1. *Let G be an abelian p-group of order v, and assume $exp(G) = p^s$. A Quadratic Residue Slice (QRS) is a set $D \subset G$ that is invariant under the action of the quadratic residues mod p^s and has size $\frac{v-1}{2}$. That is, a set D such that,*

$$\begin{aligned} D(x) + D(x^{n_0}) &= G(x) - [1] \\ D(x^n) &= D(x) \text{ for all } n \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^{*2} \end{aligned}$$

Where n_0 is a fixed non-quadratic residue mod p^s.

Using a similar calculation as Chen-Sehgal-Xiang in [3], we calculate the character value of a general QRS.

Proposition 3.2. *Let D be a QRS in an Abelian p-group G, and χ a nonprincipal character. Then,*

$$\chi(D) = \frac{-1 + (2a_\chi + 1)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2}$$

Where, $a_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will call the number $2a_\chi + 1$ the Difference Coefficient of D at χ, and we will denote this number as $d_G(D, \chi)$.

[1] This deduction follows from the fact that D is invariant under the action of G_2, the quadratic residues, and the fact that $D(x) + D(x^{n_o}) = G(x) - [1]$.

The calculation of the character values of a QRS motivates the definition of the A_{G,G_1} incidence structure.

Definition 3.3. *Let G be an abelian p-group with exponent p^s. Let $\theta : G \to \overline{G}$ be an noncanonical isomorphism such that $\theta(g)(g') = \theta(g')(g)$. Let $X = \{\Omega_{g_1}, \cdots, \Omega_{g_r}\}$ be the orbits of $G_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})^*$ on $G\backslash\{0\}$ and $Y = \{\Omega_{\chi_1}, \cdots, \Omega_{\chi_r}\}$ be the orbits of $G_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/exp(G)\mathbb{Z})^*$ on $\overline{G}\backslash\{\chi_0\}$, where $\chi_i = \theta(g_i)$. Then, $\mathrm{A_{G,G_1}}$ is defined on the set $Y \times X$ using θ by:*

$$A_{G,G_1}(\Omega_{\theta(g')}, \Omega_g) \quad = \quad \begin{cases} (\frac{n}{p})o(p \cdot g) & \text{if } \theta(g')(g) = \eta_p^n, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where $(\frac{n}{p})$ is the quadratic residue symbol, $o(g)$ is the order of g in the group G, χ_0 is the principal character, η_p is a fixed primitive pth root of unity, and $p \cdot g = p \cdot g = g + g + \cdots + g$ is g added to itself p times.

With every QRS D, we can associate a vector d of ± 1s in $\mathbb{Z}^{|X|}$. The significance of A_{G,G_1} is given by the fact that $A_{G,G_1}d$ yields all the Difference Coefficients of D in G. We will show the following important properties of the incidence structure A_{G,G_1}.

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be an abelian p-group of $exp(G) = p^s$ and order p^β. Let D be a QRS and d its corresponding vector of ± 1s. Then,*

1. *The matrix A_{G,G_1} satisfies $A_{G,G_1}^2 = \frac{|G|}{p} I$.*
2. *If p^k divides all the entries of $A_{G,G_1}d$ then p^{2k+1} divides the $|G|$.*
3. *Let β be odd. Then, D is a GSHDS in G if and only if $A_{G,G_1}d = \sqrt{\frac{|G|}{p}}\overline{d}$, where $\overline{d}$ is the ± 1 representation of the dual GSHDS of D.*

Using the previous proposition, we extend the known exponent bounds for skew Hadamard difference sets.

4 Background on S-rings

In our study of the A_{G,G_1} incidence structures, we will make use of the character tables of the general association schemes given by $\mathbb{Z}[G]^K$.

Definition 4.1. *Let G be an abelian group, and $K \subset Aut(G)$ a subgroup of automorphisms of G. Then, the set:*

$$\mathbb{Z}[G]^K \quad = \quad \{a \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \mid \ a = \sum_{g \in G} a_g x^g \text{ and } a_{\sigma(g)} = a_g \text{ for all } \sigma \in K \ \}$$

is called the K-invariant association scheme of G denoted by $H(G, K)$.

The association schemes $H(G, K)$ are examples of S-rings introduced by Bannai and Ito in [1]. Their character tables can be calculated using the standard representation theory of abelian groups.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $H \subset Aut(G)$ and G an abelian group. Let $X = \{O_{g_0}, \ldots, O_{g_r}\}$ be the set of H-orbits on G, where $g_0 = 0$ is the identity element of G. Let $\chi_i = \theta(g_i)$, and let $Y = \{Q_{\chi_0}, \ldots, O_{\chi_r}\}$ be the corresponding set of H-orbits on $\overline{G}$. Define $e_{O_{\chi_i}} = \sum_{\chi \in O_{\chi_i}} e_\chi$, where $e_\chi = \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{|G|}\overline{\chi(g)}x^g$. Then,*

1. *The set X is a basis of $H(G, K)$.*
2. *The set $Z = \{e_{\chi_0}, \ldots, e_{\chi_r}\}$ is the basis of primitive idempotents of $H(G, K)$.*
3. *We have $O_{g_i}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} \chi_j(O_{g_i}) e_{O_{\chi_i}}$, where $\chi_j(O_{g_i}) = \sum_{g \in O_{g_i}} \chi_j(g)$.*
4. *We have $e_{O_{\chi_i}} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \overline{O_{\chi_i}(g_j)} O_{g_j}(x)$, where $O_{\chi_i}(g_j) = \sum_{\chi \in O_{\chi_i}} \chi(g_j)$.*
5. *Let $C_{G,K}$ be the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ matrix given by $C_{G,K}(\chi_i, g_j) = \chi_i(O_{g_j})$, and $B_{G,K}$ be the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ matrix given by $B_{G,K}(g_i, \chi_j) = \overline{O_{\chi_j}(g_i)}$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} B_{G,K} C_{G,K} &= |G| I_{r+1,r+1}, \\ C_{G,K} B_{G,K} &= |G| I_{r+1,r+1}, \\ B_{G,K} &= \overline{C_{G,K}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_{r+1,r+1}$ is the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ identity matrix.

Proof. It suffices to prove $B_{G,K} = \overline{C_{G,K}}$. The rest of the claims follow from the standard representation theory of abelian groups. Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{G,K}(\chi_i, g_j) &= \chi_i(O_{g_j}) \\ &= \theta(g_i, O_{g_j}) \\ &= \theta(O_{g_j}, g_i) \\ &= O_{\chi_j}(g_i) \\ &= \overline{B_{G,K}(g_i, \chi_j)} \end{aligned}$$

□

5 Results from the $H(G, G_1)$ and $H(G, G_2)$ Association Schemes

For the rest of the paper, we will assume a noncanonical isomorphism $\theta : G \to \overline{G}$ such that $\theta(g)(g') = \theta(g')(g)$. We will also assume that n_0 is a fixed nonquadratic residue mod $exp(G)$. We will denote by $G_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/exp(G)\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $G_2 = (\mathbb{Z}/exp(G)\mathbb{Z})^{*2}$. We will let $g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_r$ be the G_1-orbit representatives of the action of G_1 on G, where $g_0 = 0$ is the identity element of G. From the representatives $g_0, \ldots, g_r$ and θ, we will let $\chi_i = \theta(g_i)$, which will be the corresponding G_1-orbit representatives of the action of G_1 on $\overline{G}$. Also, we will denote by Ω_g the G_1 orbit of g, and by O_g the G_2 orbit of g. Clearly, $\Omega_g = O_g + O_g^{(n_0)}$.

The following lemma will be used in our study of QRSs.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be an abelian group. Then,*

1. *We have,*

$$[|\Omega_{g_0}|,\ldots,|\Omega_{g_r}|]C_{G,G_1} = |G|[1,0,\ldots,0]^t$$

2. *Using the basis $\{O_{g_0},O_{g_1},\ldots,O_{g_r},O_{g_1}^{(n_0)},\ldots,O_{g_r}^{(n_0)}\}$ of $H(G,G_2)$, the matrix C_{G,G_2} has decomposition,*

$$C_{G,G_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j_{G_1} & j_{G_1} \\ j & A_0 & A_0^{(n_0)} \\ j & A_0^{(n_0)} & A_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where j is the vector of all 1s of size $r\times 1$, $j_{G_1}=[|O_{g_1}|,\ldots,|O_{g_r}|]$ of size $1\times r$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(O_{\chi_i},O_{g_j}) &= \chi_i(O_{g_j}) \\ A_0^{(n_0)}(O_{\chi_i},O_{g_j}) &= \chi_i(O_{g_j}^{(n_0)}) \end{aligned}$$

3. *We must have,*

$$(\overline{A_0}-\overline{A_0^{(n_0)}})(A_0-A_0^{(n_0)}) = |G|I$$

Proof. Clearly, by Proposition (4.2),

$$\overline{C_{G,G_1}}C_{G,G_1} = |G|I \tag{5}$$

Note that the first row of $\overline{C_{G,G_1}}$ is given by $[|\Omega_{g_0}|,\ldots,|\Omega_{g_r}|]$. Thus, Part 1 follows Equation (5). Part 2 is a clear calculation of C_{G,G_2} using the basis $\{O_{g_0},O_{g_1},\ldots,O_{g_r},O_{g_1}^{(n_0)},\ldots,O_{g_r}^{(n_0)}\}$.

Clearly, by Proposition (4.2), $|G|I$ equals,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & j_{G_1} & j_{G_1} \\ j & \overline{A_0} & \overline{A_0^{(n_0)}} \\ j & \overline{A_0^{(n_0)}} & \overline{A_0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j_{G_1} & j_{G_1} \\ j & A_0 & A_0^{(n_0)} \\ j & A_0^{(n_0)} & A_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, we deduce the following equations,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{G_1}+\overline{A_0}A_0+\overline{A_0^{(n_0)}}A_0^{(n_0)} &= |G|I \\ J_{G_1}+\overline{A_0^{(n_0)}}A_0+\overline{A_0}A_0^{(n_0)} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $J_{G_1}=JDiag(|O_{g_1}|,\ldots,|O_{g_r}|)$. From both of these equations, we can deduce Part 3. □

We will calculate the matrix A_0 whenever G is an abelian p-group. However, we need some auxilary lemmas before we do so.

Lemma 5.2. *Define,*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= \sum\{\eta_p^n \mid 1 \leq n \leq p-1, \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 1\} \\ \omega^{(n_0)} &= \sum\{\eta_p^n \mid 1 \leq n \leq p-1, \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1\}\end{aligned}$$

where η_p be a primitive p-th root of unity. Then, we can choose η_p so that,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= \frac{-1+\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2} \\ \omega^{(n_0)} &= \frac{-1-\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the association scheme $H((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{*2})$. Clearly, this is a 3-dimensional algebra with basis $\{O_0, O_1, O_{n_0}\}$. Also, note that $D = O_1$ is a GSHDS. Thus, by Proposition (2.8), we have for an arbitrary non-principal character χ of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(D) &= \sum\{\eta_p^{mn} \mid 1 \leq n \leq p-1, \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 1\} \\ &= \frac{-1+\epsilon_\chi\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2}\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(1) = \eta_p^m$. Clearly, $\{\chi(D), \chi(D^{(n_0)})\} = \{\omega, \omega^{(n_0)}\}$. Thus, the result follows. □

From now on, we will assume η_p is a primitive p-th root of unity so that the conclusion of Lemma (5.2) is satisfied.

Lemma 5.3. *Let O_{g_i} be a G_2 orbit of $G\backslash\{0\}$, and χ_j a G_2 orbit representative of $\overline{G}\backslash\{\chi_0\}$. Then,*

$$\chi_j(O_{g_i}(x) - O_{g_i}(x^{n_0})) = A_{G,G_1}(\Omega_{\chi_j}, \Omega_{g_i})\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}$$

Proof. Clearly, $O_{g_i} = \langle p \cdot g_i \rangle \sum\{[n \cdot g_i] \mid 1 \leq n \leq p-1, \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 1\}$. Thus,

$$\chi_j(O_{g_i}(x)) = \begin{cases} o(p \cdot g_i)\frac{p-1}{2} & \text{if } \chi_j(g_i) = 1 \\ \omega o(p \cdot g_i) & \text{if } \chi_j(g_i) = \eta_p^n \text{ where } \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq p-1 \\ \omega^{(n_0)} o(p \cdot g_i) & \text{if } \chi_j(g_i) = \eta_p^n \text{ where } \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1 \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq p-1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\chi_j(O_{g_i}(x) - O_{g_i}(x^{n_0}))$ equals

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi_j(g_i) = 1 \\ (\omega - \omega^{(n_0)})o(p \cdot g_i) & \text{if } \chi_j(g_i) = \eta_p^n \text{ where } \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq p-1 \\ (\omega^{(n_0)} - \omega)o(p \cdot g_i) & \text{if } \chi_j(g_i) = \eta_p^n \text{ where } \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1 \text{ and } 1 \leq n \leq p-1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Note that by choice of η_p in Lemma (5.2), we have that $\omega - \omega^{(n_0)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}$, and $\omega^{(n_0)} - \omega = -\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}$. Thus, the result follows.

□

Corollary 5.4. *Let G be an abelian p-group. Then,*

$$A_{G,G_1}^2 = \frac{|G|}{p} I_{r,r},$$

where r is the number of G_1 orbits in $G\backslash\{0\}$, $I_{r,r}$ is the identity $r \times r$ matrix, and $r = r(G)$ is the number of G_1 orbits of $\widetilde{G} = G\backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. By Lemma (5.3), we have that $A_0 - A_0^{(n_0)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p} A_{G,G_1}$. Also, by Lemma (5.1) part 3,

$$\begin{aligned} |G|I &= \overline{(A_0 - A_0^{(n_0)})}(A_0 - A_0^{(n_0)}) \\ &= \overline{\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p} A_{G,G_1}^2 \\ &= pA_{G,G_1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

From which, the result follows. □

We calculate A_{G,G_1} for $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})$ as an illustrative example and leave the proof as an exercise.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})$. Then,*

1. *A full set of G_1 orbit representatives of $G\backslash\{0\}$ is given by $X_1 = \{1, p, p^2, \cdots, p^{s-1}\}$.*
2. *A commutative pairing for G is given by:*

$$\theta(a)(b) = \eta_{p^s}^{ab},$$

3. *By using,*

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \mathbb{C}\Omega_{p^{s-1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\Omega_{p^{s-2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega_1, \\ Y &= \mathbb{C}\Omega_{\chi_{p^{s-1}}} \oplus \mathbb{C}\Omega_{\chi_{p^{s-2}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega_{\chi_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{p^i} = \theta(p_i)$, we can calculate A_{G,G_1} as:

$$A_{G,G_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p^{s-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & p^{s-2} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & p^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We close this section by noting that A_{G,G_1} is an $Aut(G)$ map. Clearly, A_{G,G_1} is map defined on the set $Y \times X$, where $X = \{\Omega_{g_1}, \ldots, \Omega_{g_r}\}$ and $Y = \{\Omega_{\chi_1}, \ldots, \Omega_{\chi_r}\}$. We define an action of $Aut(G)$ on X by,

$$\rho_X(\sigma)(\Omega_{g_i}) = \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\Omega_{g_j},$$

where $\sigma(g_i) = n \cdot g_j$. Clearly, this action is a representation of $Aut(G)$ on $GL(\bigoplus\{\mathbb{C}\Omega_{g_i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, r\})$. Similary, we define the action of $Aut(G)$ on Y by,

$$\rho_Y(\sigma)(\Omega_{\chi_i}) = \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\Omega_{\chi_j},$$

where $\sigma \cdot \chi_i = n \cdot \chi_j$; and for general $\sigma \in Aut(G)$, we define $\sigma \cdot \chi = \chi \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Clearly, this action is a representation of $Aut(G)$ on $GL(\bigoplus\{\mathbb{C}\Omega_{\chi_i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, r\})$.

We leave the next proposition as an exercise to the reader.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\sigma \in Aut(G)$. Then,*

1. *There is a unique $\gamma \in Aut(G)$ such that for all $g \in G$, $\theta(\gamma(g))(g') = \theta(g, \sigma(g'))$. We will denote by γ by σ^*.*
2. *The map $\sigma \to \sigma^*$ is an involution of the $Aut(G)$ that commutes with the map $\sigma \to \sigma^{-1}$.*
3. *The matrix A_{G,G_1} is an $Aut(G)$-map. That is, $\rho_Y(\sigma)A_{G,G_1} = A_{G,G_1}\rho_X(\sigma)$.*
4. *The following holds, $\rho_Y(\sigma) = \rho_X((\sigma^*)^{-1})$.*

6 Results for Quadratic Residue Slices

Using a similar technique as Chen-Sehgal-Xiang in [3], we calculate the character value of a general QRS.

Proposition 6.1. *Let D be a QRS in G. Then,*

$$\chi(D) = \frac{-1 + (2a_\chi + 1)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2},$$

where $a_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will call the number $2a_\chi + 1$ the Difference Coefficient of D in G evaluated at χ, and we will denote this number by $d_G(\chi, D)$.

Proof. Clearly, $\chi(D) \in \mathbb{Q}(\eta_{p^s})$ where $exp(G) = p^s$. Also, $\chi(D)$ is an algebraic integer that is fixed under the action of the quadratic residues mod p^s. That is, $\chi(D) \in Fix(G_2)$ the fixed field of G_2. It can be shown that $Fix(G_2) = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$, and that the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ is given by $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega$. Thus,

$$\chi(D) \quad = \quad b_\chi + a_\chi \omega$$

Because n_0 is the non-trivial Galois automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$, we must also have:

$$\chi(D^{(n_0)}) \quad = \quad a_\chi + b_\chi \omega^{(n_0)}$$

Because $D(x) + D(x^{n_0}) = G(x) - [1]$ and $\omega + \omega^{(n_0)} = -1$, we must have that $2a_\chi - b_\chi = -1$. Thus, $b_\chi = 2a_\chi + 1$ and,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(D) &= a_\chi + (2a_\chi + 1)\omega \\ &= \frac{-1 + (2a_\chi + 1)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the result follows. □

We introduce a ± 1 representation of a QRS D.

Definition 6.2. *Let D be a QRS in G. Let $\{O_{g_1}, \ldots, O_{g_r}, O_{g_1}^{(n_0)}, \ldots, O_{g_r}^{(n_0)}\}$ be the G_2 orbits of $G\backslash\{0\}$. Then,*

$$D(x) - D(x^{n_0}) \quad = \quad d_1(O_{g_1}(x) - O_{g_1}(x^{n_0})) + \ldots + d_r(O_{g_r}(x) - O_{g_r}(x^{n_0})),$$

where,

$$d_i \quad = \quad \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g_i \in D \ , \\ -1 & \text{if } g_i \in D^{(n_0)} \ . \end{cases}$$

We will call the vector $d = [d_1, \ldots, d_r]^t$ the ± 1s representation of D.

Corollary 6.3. *Let D be a QRS in G and define $df(D) = [d_G(\chi_1, D), \ldots, d_G(\chi_r, D)]^t$. Then, $A_{G,G_1} d = df(D)$.*

Proof. Clearly, by Proposition (6.1),

$$\chi_i(D(x) - D(x^{n_0})) \quad = \quad d_G(\chi_i, D)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}.$$

Also, note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i(D(x) - D(x^{n_0})) &= \sum_{j=1}^{r} d_i \chi_i(O_{g_j}(x) - O_{g_j}(x^{n_0})) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{r} d_j A_{G,G_1}(\Omega_{\chi_i}, \Omega_{g_j})\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p} \end{aligned}$$

Where we have used Lemma (5.3). Clearly, the result follows from the previous equations. □

We proceed to show the p divisibility conditions of the Difference Coefficients of a QRS D.

Proposition 6.4. *Let D be a QRS in G, where $|G| = p^\beta$ and $exp(G) = p^s$. Then,*

1. *Let p^k divide $d_G(\chi_i, D)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then, $2k + 1 \leq \beta$.*
2. *Assume $\beta = 2\alpha+1$ is odd. The set D is a GSHDS if and only if p^α divides $d_G(\chi_i, D)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.*

Proof. Let $\{\Omega_{g_0}, \Omega_{g_1}, \ldots, \Omega_{g_r}\}$ be a basis for $H(G, G_1)$ where $g_0 = 0$. Consider the element $d(D)(x) = (D(x) - D(x^{n_0}))(D(x) - D(x^{n_0}))$. Clearly, $d(D) \in H(G, G_1)$. Thus,

$$d(D)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} a_{g_i} \Omega_{g_i}(x)$$

Where $a_{g_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that for $\chi_i = \theta(g_i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i(d(D)) &= (d_G(\chi_i, D)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p})^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p d_G(\chi_i, D)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a(D) = [0, d_G(\chi_1, D)^2, \ldots, d_G(\chi_r, D)^2]^t$. Clearly,

$$C_{G,G_1}\overline{d(G)} = p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) a(D)$$

Where $\overline{d(G)} = [a_{g_0}, \ldots, a_{g_r}]^t$. Multiply the previous equation by $j_{G_1} = [|\Omega_{g_0}|, \ldots, |\Omega_{g_r}|]^t$. By Lemma (5.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |G| a_{g_0} &= |G| \langle [1, 0, \ldots, 0]^t, \overline{d(G)} \rangle \\ &= p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \langle j_{G_1}, a(D) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation yields $a_{g_0} = d(D)(0) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)(|G|-1)$. Also, by assumption, $a(D) = p^{2k} b$ for some vector b of positive integers. Thus,

$$|G|\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)(|G| - 1) = p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p^{2k} \langle j_{G_1}, b \rangle \tag{6}$$

Hence, it follows that p^{2k+1} divides $|G|$. Thus, part 1 follows.

Now we show part 2. Assume that $\beta = 2\alpha + 1$ and that D is a GSHDS. By Proposition(2.8), $d_G(\chi_i, D) = p^\alpha \epsilon_{\chi_i}$ where $\epsilon_{\chi_i} \in \{-1, 1\}$. Clearly, p^α divides $d_G(\chi_i, D)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Now, assume that p^α divides $d_G(\chi_i, D)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. It suffices to show that $d_G(\chi_i, D) = \pm p^\alpha$, because then it will follow that for arbitrary nonprincipal character χ,

$$\chi(D)\chi(D^{(n_0)}) \quad = \quad \frac{1 - \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)v}{4}$$

Thus, by Fourier inversion,

$$D(x)D(x^{n_0}) \quad = \quad k_0[1] + \lambda D(x) + \lambda D(x^{n_0}),$$

where $k_0 = \frac{1-\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)}{2}\frac{v-1}{2}$ and $\lambda = \frac{v-2+\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)}{4}$. Which implies that D is a GSHDS.

We show that $d_G(\chi_i, D) = \pm p^\alpha$. Consider Equation (6). Since $k = \alpha$, we must have,

$$p^{2\alpha+1}\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)(|G| - 1) \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha+1}\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\langle j_{G_1}, b\rangle$$

Since $\langle j_{G_1}, j\rangle = |G| - 1$, we must have $\langle j_{G_1}, j\rangle = \langle j_{G_1}, b\rangle$. This forces $b = j$ because b is a vector of positive integers. In particular, this shows that $d_G(\chi_i, D)^2 = p^{2\alpha}$. The result follows. □

Proposition (6.4) motivates the definition of the p-divisibility $\nu_p(D)$ of a general QRS D.

Definition 6.5. *Let D be a QRS in G, and d the corresponding representation of ± 1s. Then, the p-divisibility $\nu_p(D)$ of D is the integer k such that p^k divides all entries of the vector $A_{G,G_1}d$.*

Corollary 6.6. *Let G be an abelian group of order p^β and D a QRS in G. Then,*

1. *If $\beta = 2\alpha$, then $v_p(D) \leq \alpha - 1$.*
2. *If $\beta = 2\alpha + 1$, then $v_p(D) \leq \alpha$, and $v_p(D) = \alpha$ if and only if D is a GSHDS.*

We leave as an open problem the characterization of QRSs D in G with maximal value of $v_p(D)$ whenever $|G| = p^{2\alpha}$. The next proposition was originally formulated in [3] in the context of SHDSs to prove the Chen-Sehgal-Xiang exponent bound.

Proposition 6.7. *Let G be an abelian p-group with $exp(G) = p^s$. Define $G_l = \{g \in G \mid p^l \cdot g = 0\}$. Let χ be a non-principal character of G. Then,*

$$d_G(\chi, D) \quad = \quad d_{G_l}(\chi\,|_{G_l}, G_l) \ mod\ p^l$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, using the notation of Definition (3.3), we can assume that $\chi = \chi_i$ for some i because $d_G(\chi, D) = \pm d_G(\chi_i, D)$ for some χ_i. Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(\chi_i, D) &= \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{G,G_1}(\Omega_{\chi_i}, \Omega_{g_j}) d_j \\ &= \sum \{ o(p \cdot g_j) \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) d_j \mid \chi_i(g_j) = \eta_p^n, 1 \leq n \leq p-1 \} \\ &= \sum \{ o(p \cdot g_j) \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) d_j \mid \chi_i(g_j) = \eta_p^n, 1 \leq n \leq p-1, o(g_j) \leq p^l \} \bmod p^l \\ &= d_{G_l}(\chi_i \mid_{G_l}, G_l) \bmod p^l \end{aligned}$$

□

We close this section with a formula relating the Difference Coefficients to the Difference Intersection Numbers.

Proposition 6.8. *Let G be an abelian p-group, and $L \subset G$ a subgroup with $H = \frac{G}{L}$. Let $\pi : G \to H$ be the canonical projection. Choose the restriction of θ to H as the commutative pairing $\theta_H = \theta \mid_H : H \to \overline{H}$. Choose a set of H_1 orbit representatives $\{h_1, \ldots, h_s\}$ of $H \backslash \{0\}$, and choose $\{\chi_1 = \theta_H(h_1), \ldots, \chi_s = \theta_H(h_s)\}$ as the corresponding set of H_1 orbit representatives of $\overline{H} \backslash \{\chi_0\}$. Let g_i be any lift of h_i under π, and let $\chi_i' = \chi_i \circ \pi$ be the extension of χ_i to G. Define A_{H,H_1} using the choices for χ_i, h_i, and θ_H. Then,*

1. *The following holds,*

$$\pi(D - D^{(n_0)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \nu_{G,L}(g_i, D)(O_{h_i} - O_{h_i}^{(n_0)})$$

2. *The following holds*

$$A_{H,H_1} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{G,L}(g_1, D) \\ \vdots \\ \nu_{G,L}(g_s, D) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_G(\chi_1', D) \\ \vdots \\ d_G(\chi_s', D) \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof. Let $G = l_1 L \cup \cdots \cup l_t L$ and $m_1 = \pi(l_1), \cdots, m_t = \pi(l_t)$, where $t = |H|$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} D \cap l_i L \\ D^{(n_0)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} D^{(n_0)} \cap l_i L \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\pi(D - D^{(n_0)}) \quad = \quad \sum_{i=1}^{t} \nu_{G,L}(l_i, D)[m_i]$$

Note that $\nu_{G,L}(n \cdot g, D) = \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\nu_{G,L}(g, D)$. Thus,

$$\pi(D - D^{(n_0)}) \quad = \quad \sum_{j=1}^{s} \nu_{G,L}(g_j, D)(O_{h_j} - O_{h_j}^{(n_0)})$$

Hence, part 1 follows.

Apply χ_i to part 1. Clearly,

$$\chi_i \circ \pi(D - D^{(n_0)}) \quad = \quad \sum_{j=1}^{s} \nu_{G,L}(g_j, D)\chi_i(O_{h_j} - O_{h_j}^{(n_0)})$$

By Proposition (6.1) and Lemma (5.3), we have,

$$\chi_i \circ \pi(D - D^{(n_0)}) \quad = \quad d_G(\chi_i', D)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} \nu_{G,L}(g_j, D)\chi_i(O_{h_j} - O_{h_j}^{(n_0)}) \quad = \quad \sum_{j=1}^{s} \nu_{G,L}(g_j, D)A_{H,H_1}(\Omega_{\chi_i}, \Omega_{h_j})\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}$$

Clearly, the part 2 follows from the previous two equations. □

7 Exponent Bounds

We show the exponent bounds known for SHDSs by making use of the following Lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *The following hold,*

1. *Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})$ and D a QRS in G. Then, $\nu_p(D) = 0$.*
2. *Let $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})$ and D a QRS in G. Then, $\nu_p(D) = 0$.*

Proof. We show part 1. Let d be the $\pm$1s representation of D. Clearly, by Proposition(5.5), there is a difference coefficient of D that is ± 1. Hence, $\nu_p(D) = 0$.

Now we show Part 2. Assume otherwise. That is, there is a QRS D such that $\nu_p(D) \geq 1$. Let $D' = D \cap H$ where $H = \{g \in G \mid p \cdot g = 0\}$. By Proposition (6.7), $\nu_p(D') \geq 1$. Note that $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, hence by Corollary (6.6), $\nu_p(D') = 0$. Clearly a contradiction. □

Now, we show Johnsen's exponent bound.

Proposition 7.2. *Let G admit a GSHDS D. Assume that $|G| = p^{2\alpha+1}$ and that $exp(G) = p^s$. Then, $s \leq \alpha + 1$.*

Proof. Clearly, $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}) \times L$, for some subgroup L. Hence, there is a projection $\pi : G \to H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})$. We proceed to apply Proposition(6.8). Hence, there is an integral vector ν such that,

$$A_{H,H_1}\nu \quad = \quad df_H(D)$$

where $df_H(D)$ are the difference coefficients of D evaluated at the characters of H extended to G. Because D is a GSHDS, we must have $df_H(D) = p^\alpha d$ where d is a vector of ± 1s. More percisely, d is the ± 1s representation of $\overline{D} \cap \overline{H}$ where $\overline{D}$ is the dual of D. Hence,

$$A_{H,H_1}\nu \quad = \quad p^\alpha d$$

By applying Corollary (5.4), we derive,

$$p^{s-1-\alpha}\nu \quad = \quad A_{H,H_1}d$$

Where d corresponds to a QRS in $\overline{H}$. By Lemma (7.1), we must have $s-1-\alpha \leq 0$. The result follows. □

Using a similar technique, we show the Chen-Sehgal-Xiang exponent bound.

Proposition 7.3. *Let G admit a GSHDS D. Assume that $|G| = p^{2\alpha+1}$ and that $exp(G) = p^s$. Then, $2s \leq \alpha + 1$.*

Proof. Clearly by Proposition(2.10), $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}) \times L$, for some subgroup L. Hence, there is a projection $\pi : G \to H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^s\mathbb{Z})$. We proceed to apply Proposition(6.8). Hence, there is an integral vector ν such that,

$$A_{H,H_1}\nu \quad = \quad df_H(D)$$

where $df_H(D)$ are the difference coefficients of D evaluated at the characters of H extended to G. Because D is a GSHDS, we must have $df_H(D) = p^\alpha d$ where d is a vector of ± 1s. More percisely, d is the ± 1s representation of $\overline{D} \cap \overline{H}$ where $\overline{D}$ is the dual of D. Hence,

$$A_{H,H_1}\nu \quad = \quad p^\alpha d$$

By applying Corollary (5.4), we derive,

$$p^{2s-1-\alpha}\nu \quad = \quad A_{H,H_1}d$$

Where d corresponds to a QRS in $\overline{H}$. By Lemma (7.1), we must have $2s-1-\alpha \leq 0$. The result follows. □

8 Results From The Galois Rings $GR(p^2, \beta)$

The derivation of necessary existence conditions for the family of groups $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1} \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ will make use of the Galois Rings $GR(p^2, 2\alpha+1)$ viewed as the groups $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$ under the additive operation of the Galois Ring. The Galois Rings $GR(p^2, 2\alpha+1)$ will also be used to construct the element $L_0(x)$ of Proposition(1.7), and to derive a canonical form for the A_{H,H_1} incidence structures. In this section, we will derive these results.

We will assume the following result that can be shown using standard local algebraic number theory as it is done in Neukirch's book in [9] in the chapter of p-adic extensions.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\eta)$ be an umramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree α, where $\mathbb{Q}_p$ are the p-adic numbers. Let O_K be the ring of integers of K. Define $GR(p^k, \alpha) = \frac{O_K}{p^k O_K}$. Let $q = p^\alpha$, then:*

1. *The ring $GR(p^k, \alpha) = (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})(\eta)$ is the Galois extension of the ring $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$ of degree α and exponent p^k.*
2. *There is a set of "Teichmuller Units", $\tau = \{1, \eta, \ldots, \eta^{q-2}\} \subset (O_K)^*$, of $(q-1)$th primitive roots of unity in O_K that are distinct mod pO_K.*
3. *Every element $\gamma \in GR(p^k, \alpha)$ decomposes into:*

$$\gamma \quad = \quad r_0(\gamma) + r_1(\gamma)p + \cdots + r_{k-1}(\gamma)p^{k-1},$$

   where the $r_i(\gamma) \in \tau \cup \{0\}$ are unique.

4. *The $Gal(K \mid \mathbb{Q}_p) = \langle Fr \rangle$; where Fr, the "Frobenious" map, is defined by $Fr(\eta) = \eta^p$.*
5. *The $Gal(K \mid \mathbb{Q}_p)$ induces a group of automorphisms of $GR(p^k, \alpha)$ that leaves $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$ invariant and is defined by $Fr(\gamma) = r_0(\gamma)^p + r_1(\gamma)^p p + \cdots + r_{k-1}(\gamma)^p p^{k-1}$.*
6. *The Trace map $Tr : K \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ defined by:*

$$Tr(x) \quad = \quad \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Fr^i(x),$$

   induces a Trace map $Tr : GR(p^k, \alpha) \to (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$.

By using Galois Rings, we give a list of H_1 orbit representatives for $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\alpha$ that will prove useful.

Proposition 8.2. *Let $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\alpha$ and $L = \{g \in H \mid o(g) = p\} = p \cdot H$. Then,*

1. *The group H can be viewed as Galois Ring $GR(p^2, \alpha)$ by using any isomorphism of additive groups $\kappa : H \to GR(p^2, \alpha)$. That is, we can define the corresponding multiplication on H as:*

$$g * g' \quad = \quad \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(g) * \kappa(g'))$$

2. *Let r' be the number of orbits of the action of $L_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ on $L\backslash\{0\}$, then $r' = p^{\alpha-1} + p^{\alpha-2} + \cdots + p + 1$.*

3. *Let $q = p^\alpha$. There is a set $\mu_{q-1} = \{k_1, \ldots, k_{q-1}\}$ of elements of H of order p^2, called the "Teichmuller Units", such that:*

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot \mu_{q-1} &= \{p \cdot k_1, \ldots, p \cdot k_{p^\alpha - 1}\} \\ &= L\backslash\{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

4. *There are a sets $\{l_1, \ldots, l_{r'}\} \subset \mu_{q-1}$ and $\{l'_1, \ldots, l'_{p^{\alpha-1}}\} \subset \mu_{q-1} \cup \{0\}$ such that,*

   (a) *The elements $\{p \cdot l_1, \ldots, p \cdot l_{r'}\}$ are orbit representatives of the action of L_1 on $L\backslash\{0\}$.*

   (b) *The elements $\{p \cdot l'_1, \ldots, p \cdot l'_{p^{\alpha-1}}\}$ are orbit representatives of the action of $((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}), +)$ on $(L, +)$.*

   (c) *The elements $h_{i,j} = l_i + p \cdot l'_{i,j} = l_i * (1 + pl'_j)$, where $i = 1, \ldots, r'$ and $j = 1, \ldots, p^{\alpha-1}$, forms a set of orbit representatives of the action of H_1 on the elements of order p^2 in H.*

Proof. Part 1 is clear. We show part 2 by observing that L_1 has order $p-1$ and is acting on, $L\backslash\{0\}$, a set of size $p^\alpha - 1$. Since this action has no fixed points, a direct use of burnside's formula for group actions gives the number of orbits as $\frac{p^\alpha - 1}{p-1} = p^{\alpha-1} + p^{\alpha-2} + \cdots + p + 1$. Hence, part 2 follows.

To show parts 3 and 4, we will view H as a Galois Ring; the extra operation of multiplication will help us construct the desired H_1 orbit representatives.

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\beta)$ be an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the p-adic numbers, of degree α. Denote by O_K the ring of integers in K. Let τ be the Teichmuller Units of Proposition 8.1.

Define $\mu_{q-1} = \tau \bmod p^2 O_K$. Since $GR(p^2, \alpha)$ is a local ring with maximal ideal given by $pGR(p^2, \alpha)$, it follows that all units have order p^2. Hence, every element of μ_{q-1} has order p^2. To show part 3, let $k_1 \neq k_2$ both in μ_{q-1} such that $p \cdot k_1 = p \cdot k_2$ in $L \subset H$. By construction, there are $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$ both in τ such that $p \cdot \eta_1 = p \cdot \eta_2 \bmod p^2 O_K$. Thus, $p \cdot (\eta_1 - \eta_2) = 0 \bmod p^2 O_K$. Since K is an unramified discrete valuation ring with prime p, it follows that p divides $\eta_1 - \eta_2$, i.e., $\eta_1 = \eta_2 \bmod pO_K$. This contradicts Proposition 8.1 part 2. Hence, $p \cdot \mu_{q-1} \subset L\backslash\{0\}$ has $q-1$ elements. Since $|L\backslash\{0\}| = q-1$, it must be the case that $p \cdot \mu_{q-1} = L\backslash\{0\}$.

Now, we proceed to show part 4. By using standard local algebraic number theory, we know that $K^* = \langle p \rangle \times \mu_{q-1} \times U^{(1)}$; where $\langle p \rangle = \{p^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and

$U^{(1)} = \{x \in O_K \mid x = 1 + pz \text{ where } z \in O_K\}$. Thus, we can deduce that $GR(p^2, \alpha)^*$ can be parametrized by tuples in the following form:

$$GR(p^2, \alpha)^* \quad = \quad \{(a_0, a_1) \mid a_0 \in \mu_{q-1}, a_1 \in \mu_{q-1} \cup \{0\}\}, \tag{7}$$

where $(a_0, a_1) = a_0(1 + pa_1) \in GR(p^2, \alpha)$. We note that the parametrization in Equation (7) is more of a multiplicative decomposition instead of an additive decomposition. That is, $(a_0, 0)(0, a_1) = (a_0, a_1)$ but $(a_0, 0) + (0, a_1) \neq (a_0, a_1)$.

Note that $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_p/p^2\mathbb{Z}_p \subset O_K/p^2O_K = GR(p^2, \alpha)$. A direct calculation shows that:

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^* \quad = \quad \{(b_0, b_1) \mid b_0 \in \mu_{p-1}, b_1 \in \mu_{p-1} \cup \{0\}\},$$

where μ_{p-1} is the subset of μ_{q-1} consisting of the $(p-1)$th roots of unity. Note that the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^*$ on H is given by multiplication of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})$ on $GR(p^2, \alpha)$. Also, note that multiplication of tuples has a nice formula since:

$$\begin{aligned} (b_0, b_1)(a_0, a_1) &= b_0(1 + pb_1)a_0(1 + pa_1) \\ &= b_0a_0(1 + p(b_1 + a_1)) \bmod p^2O_K \\ &= (b_0a_0, b_1 + a_1), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the addition in the second coordinate is taken as addition in $\mathbb{F}_q$, and multiplication in the first coordinate is taken as multiplication in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^*$.

Clearly, if we are considering elements of order p^2, then we are considering the elements of $GR(p^2, \alpha)^*$. Also, the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^* = H_1$ on $GR(p^2, \alpha)^* \subset H$ "decomposes" via the use of Equation (8) onto the action of $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ on the first coordinate and the action of $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$ on $(\mathbb{F}_q, +)$ on the second coordinate.

Let $m_1, \ldots, m_{r'}$ be orbit representatives of the action of μ_{p-1} on μ_{q-1}. Also, let $n_1, \ldots, n_{p^{\alpha-1}}$ be orbit representatives of the action of $(\mathbb{F}_p, +) = \mu_{p-1} \cup \{0\}$ on $(\mathbb{F}_q, +) = \mu_{q-1} \cup \{0\}$.

We claim that the set of tuples $(m_i, n_j) = m_i(1 + pn_j)$ form a set of orbit representatives of the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^*$ on $GR(p^2, \alpha)^*$. This, will show part 4.

Let $(m, n) \in GR(p^2, \alpha)^*$. Clearly, m belongs to the orbit of some m_{i_0}. That is, there is $b_0 \in \mu_{p-1}$ such that $m = b_0m_{i_0}$. Also, n belongs to the orbit of some n_{j_0}. That is, there is $b_1 \in (\mathbb{F}_p, +) = \mu_{p-1} \cup \{0\}$ such that $n = b_1 + n_{j_0}$. Note that this means $(m, n) = (b_0, b_1)(m_{i_0}, n_{j_0})$, where $(b_0, b_1) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^*$. Hence, the list (m_i, n_j) is exahustive.

It suffices to show that each orbit is represented by at most one (m_i, n_j). Suppose that (m_i, n_j) and $(m_{i'}, n_{j'})$ represent the same orbit H_1 orbit of $H\backslash\{0\}$. Clearly, there is $b \in H_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that:

$$m_i(1 + pn_j) \quad = \quad b * m_{i'}(1 + pn_{j'}).$$

Since, we can decompose b into (b_0, b_1), clearly, $(m_{i'}, n_{j'}) = (b_0, b_1)(m_i, n_j) = (b_0m_i, b_1 + n_j)$. Thus $m_{i'} = b_0m_i$ and $n_{j'} = b_1 + n_j$. Hence, forcing $i = i'$ and $j = j'$ by the choice of the m_i and n_j's. □

We note that the $l'_{i,j}$'s of Proposition 8.2 may repeat themselves; but, the l_i's and l'_j's do not. In our study of $GR(p^2, 2\alpha+1)$, we will choose the l_i's as quadratic residues in $\mu_{q-1} = \mathbb{F}^*_{p^{2\alpha+1}}$. The following proposition justifies this choice.

Proposition 8.3. *Let $q = p^{2\beta+1}$, and define $\mu_{q-1} = \mathbb{F}^*_q$, $\mu_{p-1} = \mathbb{F}^*_p$. Then, any set of representatives of $\frac{\mu^2_{q-1}}{\mu^2_{p-1}}$ is also a set of representatives of $\frac{\mu_{q-1}}{\mu_{p-1}}$.*

Proof. Clearly, the number representatives in a slice of $\frac{\mu^2_{q-1}}{\mu^2_{p-1}}$ is the same as the number of representatives in a slice of $\frac{\mu_{q-1}}{\mu_{p-1}}$. It suffices to show that: if $x, y \in \mu^2_{q-1}$ are inequivalent mod μ^2_{p-1}, then they are inequivalent mod μ_{p-1}.[2] Thus, suppose otherwise; i.e., x, y are inequivalent mod μ^2_{p-1} but they are equivalent mod μ_{p-1}. Let $x = y\psi$, where $\psi \in \mu_{p-1} \backslash \mu^2_{p-1}$. Clearly, the quadratic residue symbol $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ is a multiplicative character of μ_{q-1}. Hence,

$$1 \quad = \quad \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \quad = \quad \left(\frac{y}{p}\right)\left(\frac{\psi}{p}\right) \quad = \quad \left(\frac{\psi}{p}\right).$$

Therefore, ψ is a quadratic residue in μ_{q-1}. Thus, $\mu_{p-1} \subset \mu^2_{q-1}$. The following claim will give us a contradiction.

Claim 8.4. *Let p be a prime and $q = p^\beta$, then: $\mathbb{F}^*_p \subset (\mathbb{F}^*_q)^2$ if and only if $q = p^{2\alpha}$ is an even power of p*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let $\eta \in (\mathbb{F}^*_p) \backslash (\mathbb{F}^*_p)^2$ and choose $\psi \in (\mathbb{F}^*_q)^2$ so that $\psi^2 = \eta$, then the splitting field of ψ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ must be contained in $\mathbb{F}_q$; Hence, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ must be a subgroup of $Gal(\mathbb{F}_q \mid \mathbb{F}_p)$, the Galois Group of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Note that $Gal(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_p) = (\mathbb{Z}/\beta\mathbb{Z})$; thus, 2 must divide β since $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \subset (\mathbb{Z}/\beta\mathbb{Z})$.

($\Leftarrow$) Let $\eta \in (\mathbb{F}^*_p) \backslash (\mathbb{F}^*_p)^2$ and choose ψ so that $\psi^2 = \eta$, then the splitting field $\mathbb{F}$ of ψ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ has degree 2; hence, it has Galois Group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbb{F}$ has order p^2. Note that the Galois Group of $\mathbb{F}_q$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\alpha\mathbb{Z})$. Hence, by Galois theory, $\mathbb{F}_q$ has a subfield $\mathbb{F}'$ with Galois Group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and order p^2. Since the field of p^2 elements is unique modulo field isomorphisms, it must follow that $\mathbb{F}'$ is the splitting field of ψ. Thus, $\psi \in (\mathbb{F}^*_q)^2$ and the conclusion follows. □

By the previous claim, we arrive at a contradiction. □

We will identify the group $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\beta$ with the Galois Ring $GR(p^2, \beta)$, and we will use the special pairing θ induced by the trace function of the $GR(p^2, \beta)$. That is,

$$\theta(g')(g) \quad = \quad \eta_{p^2}^{Tr(g' * g)},$$

where we have identified H with $GR(p^2, \beta)$, the operation $*$ is multiplication in the Galois Ring $GR(p^2, \beta)$, and $Tr(\cdot)$ is the Galois trace in $GR(p^2, \beta)$. We

[2] In the multiplicative sense

note that the choice of $Tr(\cdot)$ depends on the embedding of $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\beta$ in the Galois Ring $GR(p^2, \beta)$ and the choice of η_{p^2}. We will denote $Tr(g' * g)$ by $\langle g', g \rangle_H$. Clearly, using the pairing θ induced by $Tr(\cdot)$,

$$A_{H,H_1}(\Omega_{\theta(g')}, \Omega_g) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) o(p \cdot g) & \text{if } \langle g', g \rangle_H = np \bmod p^2 \text{ for some } n \\ & \text{where } (n,p) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Also, by restricting $Tr(\cdot)$ from H to $L = p \cdot H$, we can induce a pairing on θ' on L and define A_{L,L_1}. Clearly, $Tr\mid_L$ is the regular trace function of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^\beta}$. We will denote $Tr\mid_L (g' * g)$ by $\langle g', g \rangle_L$.

Now, we proceed to calculate A_{H,H_1} for $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2)^\beta$.

Proposition 8.5. *Let $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^\beta$ and $L = p \cdot H = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\beta$. Then, there is an ordering of the orbits of the action of H_1 on $H \backslash \{0\}$, such that:*

$$A_{H,H_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & pA_{L,L_1} & \cdots & pA_{L,L_1} \\ A_{L,L_1} & pJ_{H,1,1} & \cdots & pJ_{H,1,p^{\beta-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{L,L_1} & pJ_{H,p^{\beta-1},1} & \cdots & pJ_{H,p^{\beta-1},p^{\beta-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \} & m_{\beta,p} \\ \} & p^{\beta-1} m_{\beta,p} \end{matrix},$$

where $J_{H,i,j}$ has nonzero support on the zero pattern of A_{L,L_1}, and $m_{\beta,p} = p^{\beta-1} + p^{\beta-1} + \cdots + p + 1$.

Proof. We will organize the H_1 orbit representatives according to the parametrization of Proposition 8.2. Using the notation of Proposition 8.2, consider the following enumeration of the orbits:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{p \cdot l_1, \ldots, p \cdot l_{r'}\} & \text{for elements of order } p \\ \{l_1 + p \cdot l'_{1,1}, \ldots, l_{r'} + p \cdot l_{r',1}, l_1 + p \cdot l'_{1,2}, \ldots, & \text{for elements of order } p^2 \\ l_{r'} + p \cdot l_{r',2}, \ldots, l_1 + p \cdot l'_{1,p^{\beta-1}}, \ldots, l_{r'} + p \cdot l_{r',p^{\beta-1}}\} & \end{array}.$$

Where $r' = m_{\beta,p}$. Using the above enumeration, we can calculate A_{H,H_1} as the following:

$$A_{H,H_1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{0,0} & pA_{0,1} & \cdots & pA_{0,p^{\beta-1}} \\ A_{1,0} & pA_{1,1} & \cdots & pA_{1,p^{\beta-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{p^{\beta-1},0} & pA_{p^{\beta-1},1} & \cdots & pA_{p^{\beta-1},p^{\beta-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

where: the $A_{i,j}$'s are all $r' \times r'$ matrices; $A_{0,j}$, where $1 \le j$, is defined on tuples of the form $(p \cdot l_k, l_t + p \cdot l'_{t,j})$; $A_{i,0}$, where $1 \le i$, is defined on tuples of the form $(l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, l_t)$; $A_{0,0}$ is defined on tuples of the form $(p \cdot l_k, p \cdot l_t)$; and $A_{i,j}$, where $1 \le i, 1 \le j$, is defined on tuples of the form $(l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, l_t + p \cdot l'_{t,j})$.

We proceed to calculate the $A_{i,j}$'s using Equation (9). First, we calculate $A_{0,0}$. Since $A_{0,0}$ is valued on tuples of the form $(p \cdot l_k, p \cdot l_t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p \cdot l_k, p \cdot l_t \rangle_H &= p^2 \langle l_k, l_t \rangle_H \\ &= 0 \bmod p^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Equation (9), $A_{0,0} = 0$.

Now, we calculate $A_{i,0}$ $(1 \leq i)$. Since $A_{i,0}$ is valued on tuples of the form $(l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, p \cdot l_t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, p \cdot l_t \rangle_H &= p\langle l_k, l_t \rangle_H + p^2 \langle l'_{k,i}, l_t \rangle_H \\ &= p\langle l_k, l_t \rangle_H \bmod p^2 \\ &= p\langle \overline{l_k}, \overline{l_t} \rangle_L \bmod p^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{l_k}$ is the result of taking all the coordinates of l_k mod p. Hence, by using Equation (9), we have $A_{i,0} = A_{L,L_1}$. The proof that $A_{0,j} = A_{L,L_1}$ is similar to this case.

It suffices to show that $A_{i,j}$, where $1 \leq i, 1 \leq j$, has nonzero support on the zero pattern of A_{L,L_1}. Clearly, $A_{i,j}$ is valued on the tuples of the form $(l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, l_t + p \cdot l'_{t,j})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, l_t + p \cdot l'_{t,j} \rangle_H &= \langle l_k, l_t \rangle_H + p(\langle l'_{k,i}, l_t \rangle_H + \langle l_t, l'_{t,j} \rangle_H) \\ &\quad + p^2 \langle l'_{k,i}, l'_{t,j} \rangle_H \\ &= \langle l_k, l_t \rangle_H \\ &\quad + p(\langle l'_{k,i}, l_t \rangle_H + \langle l_t, l'_{t,j} \rangle_H) \bmod p^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $A_{i,j}(l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, l_t + p \cdot l'_{t,j}) \neq 0$, then $\langle l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, l_t + p \cdot l'_{t,j} \rangle_H = pf$ mod p^2 where $f \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} pf &= \langle l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, l_t + p \cdot l'_{t,j} \rangle_H \\ &= \langle l_k, l_t \rangle_H + p(\langle l'_{k,i}, l_t \rangle_H + \langle l_t, l'_{t,j} \rangle_H) \bmod p^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence, $\langle l_k, l_t \rangle_H = 0 \bmod p$. But $\langle l_k, l_t \rangle_H = \langle \overline{l_k}, \overline{l_t} \rangle_L \bmod p$. Thus, if $A_{i,j}(l_k + p \cdot l'_{k,i}, l_t + p \cdot l'_{t,j}) \neq 0$, then $\langle \overline{l_k}, \overline{l_t} \rangle_L = 0 \bmod p$. That is, $A_{i,j}$ is nonzero on a subset of the zero pattern of A_{L,L_1}. □

Corollary 8.6. *Let H and A_{H,H_1} be given as they are in Proposition 8.5. Let*

$$B'_H = \begin{pmatrix} J_{H,1,1} & \cdots & J_{H,1,p^{\beta-1}} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ J_{H,p^{\beta-1},1} & \cdots & J_{H,p^{\beta-1},p^{\beta-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $B_H = pB'_H$. Then,

1. *The following holds $B'_H J = 0$, i.e., zero row sums; and, $\sum_{j=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} J_{H,i,j} = 0$.*

2. *The following holds* $JB'_H = 0$*, i.e., zero column sums; and,* $\sum_{i=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} J_{H,i,j} = 0$.

3. *The following holds* $B_H^3 = \frac{|H|}{p} B_H = p^{2\beta-1} B_H$.

4. *The following holds* $B_H^2 = p^{2\beta-1} I - p^\beta J_{p^{\beta-1},p^{\beta-1}} \otimes I_{r'}$.

Proof. This is a consequence of $A_{H,H_1}^2 = p^{2\beta-1} I$. Consider,

$$p^{2\beta-1} I \quad = \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & pA_{L,L_1} & \cdots & pA_{L,L_1} \\ A_{L,L_1} & pJ_{H,1,1} & \cdots & pJ_{H,1,p^{\beta-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{L,L_1} & pJ_{H,p^{\beta-1},1} & \cdots & pJ_{H,p^{\beta-1},p^{\beta-1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & pA_{L,L_1} & \cdots & pA_{L,L_1} \\ A_{L,L_1} & pJ_{H,1,1} & \cdots & pJ_{H,1,p^{\beta-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ A_{L,L_1} & pJ_{H,p^{\beta-1},1} & \cdots & pJ_{H,p^{\beta-1},p^{\beta-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

Equation (10) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sum_{j=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} J_{H,i,j}) A_{L,L_1} &= 0, \\ pA_{L,L_1}(\sum_{i=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} J_{H,i,j}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From which part 1 and part 2 follow.

Note that Equation (10) also gives the following equation.

$$pA_{L,L_1}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} (pJ_{H,i,k})(pJ_{H,k,j}) \quad = \quad \delta_{i,j} p^{2\beta-1} I.$$

Since $A_{L,L_1}^2 = p^{\beta-1} I$, we get that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} (pJ_{H,i,k})(pJ_{H,k,j}) \quad = \quad \delta_{i,j} p^{2\beta-1} I - p^\beta I. \tag{11}$$

From which part 3 follows.

To show part 4, apply B_H to Equation (11),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1,j=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} (pJ_{H,i,k})(pJ_{H,k,j})(pJ_{H,j,l}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} (\delta_{i,j} p^{2\beta-1} I - p^\beta I)(pJ_{H,j,l}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} \delta_{i,j} p^{2\beta-1} (pJ_{H,j,l}) - p^\beta \sum_{j=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} (pJ_{H,j,l}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p^{\beta-1}} \delta_{i,j} p^{2\beta-1} (pJ_{H,j,l}) \\ &= p^{2\beta-1} (pJ_{H,i,l}), \end{aligned}$$

from which part 4 follows. □

Now, we proceed to construct the element $L_0(x)$ of Proposition (1.7). We will consider the groups $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$ and choose the l_i's in Proposition (8.2) as the quadratic residues in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2\alpha+1}}$. We note that the set of quadratic residues in $(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2\alpha+1}}, +)$ is a GSHDS. We will omit the proof of this statement. This choice for the l_i's will impose conditions on the elements of the matrix A_{H,H_1} as it is shown in the next proposition.

Proposition 8.7. *Let $\underline{j}$ be the vector all 1's. Using the assumptions on the l_i's, the results and the notation of Propositions (8.5) and Corollary (8.6); where $H = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$ and $L = p \cdot H \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$, the following hold:*

1. *We have that $J_{H,s,t}\underline{j} = \lambda_{s,t}\underline{j}$ for some integer $\lambda_{s,t}$. More percisely,*

$$\lambda_{s,t} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(l_j(1+p(l'_s+l'_t)))}{p}\right),$$

   where we have extended $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ so that $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = 0$ whenever p divides n, and $m_{2\alpha+1,p} = \frac{p^{2\alpha+1}-1}{p-1}$.

2. *We have that $A_{L,L_1}\underline{j} = \epsilon_0 p^\alpha \underline{j}$ where $\epsilon_0 \in \{1, -1\}$.*

3. *Let $L = [[\lambda_{s,t}]]$, the matrix formed by the $\lambda_{s,t}$'s. Then,*

$$L^2 = p^{2\alpha-1}(p^{2\alpha}I - J).$$

4. *We have that $L^T = L$.*

Proof. Part 1 is a direct consequence of our choice of l_i's and the choice of $\theta(g)(g')$. Clearly, the l_i's form a group under Galois Ring multiplication. Also, $J_{H,s,t}$ is a matrix on the tuples $(l_i(1+pl'_s), l_j(1+pl'_t))$; hence, the ith coordinate of $J_{H,s,t}\underline{j}$ is equal to:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(l_i(1+pl'_s)l_j(1+pl'_t))}{p}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(l_il_j(1+p(l'_s+l'_t)))}{p}\right).$$

Note that $(1+p(l'_s+l'_t))$ is fixed in the above sum. Also, $l_il_j = l_{\sigma(j)}\alpha_{i,j}$ where: σ is the induced permutation action of l_i on $\frac{\mu_{q-1}^2}{\mu_{p-1}^2}$, and $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mu_{p-1}^2$ is some quadratic residue mod p. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{Tr(l_il_j(1+p(l'_s+l'_t)))}{p}\right) &= \left(\frac{Tr(l_{\sigma(j)}\alpha_{i,j}(1+p(l'_s+l'_t)))}{p}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{\alpha_{i,j}}{p}\right)\left(\frac{Tr(l_{\sigma(j)}(1+p(l'_s+l'_t)))}{p}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{Tr(l_{\sigma(j)}(1+p(l'_s+l'_t)))}{p}\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{Tr(l_{\sigma(j)}l''_{s,t})}{p}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $l''_{s,t} = 1 + p(l'_s + l'_t)$. Hence, the ith coordinate of $J_{H,s,t}\underline{j}$ is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(l_i l_j(1+p(l'_s+l'_t)))}{p}\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(l_{\sigma(j)} l''_{s,t})}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(l_j l''_{s,t})}{p}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which is a value that is independent of i but dependent on s, t. This shows part 1.

Now, we proceed to show part 2. Note that by the choice of the l_i's, the vector of all 1's ,$\underline{j}$, represents the Quadratic Residues $(\mathbb{F}^*_{p^{2\alpha+1}})^2$ of $L \simeq (\mathbb{F}_{p^{2\alpha+1}}, +)$. Hence, by Proposition (3.4) part 3, $A_{L,L_1}\underline{j} = p^\alpha \overline{d}$ for some vector $\overline{d}$ of ± 1s, since $\underline{j}$ is a GSHDS in L. Clearly, the ith coordinate of $A_{L,L_1}\underline{j}$ is given by:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(\overline{l_i l_j})}{p}\right),$$

where $\overline{l_i} = l_i \bmod p$, and $\overline{l_j} = l_j \bmod p$. Clearly, $\{\overline{l_1}, \cdots, \overline{l_{m_{2\alpha+1,p}}}\} = \frac{(\mathbb{F}^*_{p^{2\alpha+1}})^2}{(\mathbb{F}^*_p)^2}$ is a group; and, by using a similar reasoning as part 1, we can deduce that the ith coordinate of $A_{L,L_1}\underline{j}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(\overline{l_i l_j})}{p}\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(\overline{l_{\sigma(j)}})}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} \left(\frac{Tr(\overline{l_j})}{p}\right) \\ &= \epsilon_0 p^\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_0 = \pm 1$. Thus, the ith coordinate $A_{L,L_1}\underline{j}$ is independent of i and the second part follows.

To show part 3, consider the following equation from Corollary 8.6.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m_{2\alpha+1,p}} (pJ_{H,i,k})(pJ_{H,k,j}) = \delta_{i,j} p^{4\alpha+1} I - p^{2\alpha+1} I$$

We can deduce part 3 by multiplying the previous equation by $\underline{j}$ and simplifying. Part 4 follows from $J_{H,s,t} = J_{H,t,s}$. □

We will identify each block $J_{H,i,j}$ of A_{H,H_1} with the element $l'_j \in \frac{\mu_{q-1}\cup\{0\}}{\mu_{p-1}\cup\{0\}} \simeq \frac{(\mathbb{F}_q,+)}{(\mathbb{F}_p,+)} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha}$. Under this identification, the matrix L of Proposition 8.7 can be thought as a matrix over entries indexed by the elements of $(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2\alpha+1}}, +)$ mod $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$. That is, as a matrix indexed by elements in $(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2\alpha}}, +) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha} =$

K. Thus, for each l'_j denote k_j the corresponding element in K. We will assume an ordering of the l'_j's such that l'_1 corresponds to the 0 element of K.

Let us introduce the following element of $\mathbb{Z}[K]$.

$$L_0(x) \quad = \quad \sum_{k_i \in K} \lambda_{1,i} x^{k_i}$$

Where we have assumed that $k_1 = 0$ the additive identity of K. We will show some properties of $L_0(x)$, and we will use $L_0(x)$ to establish necessary existence conditions for GSHDS in G.

Proposition 8.8. *Let ρ_K be the regular representation of K with action on $Z = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p^{2\alpha}} \mathbb{C}e_{k_i}$. Then:*

1. *For $g \in K$, $\rho_K(g^{-1})L = L\rho_K(g)$, as matrices.*
2. *For $\chi \in \overline{K}$, $Le_\chi = \overline{\chi}(L_0)e_{\overline{\chi}}$ where:*

$$e_\chi \quad = \quad \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha}} \sum_{k \in K} \overline{\chi}(k) e_k.$$

3. *For $\chi \in \overline{K}$, χ non principal. $\chi(L_0)\overline{\chi}(L_0) = p^{4\alpha-1}$.*
4. *Let χ_0 be the principal character of K, then $\chi_0(L_0) = 0$.*
5. *$L_0(x)L_0(x^{-1}) = p^{4\alpha-1}[1] - p^{2\alpha-1}K(x)$, in the group algebra of K.*

Proof. We proceed to show part 1. Let $k_j \in K$ and l'_j the corresponding element to k_j. Consider $\sigma = 1 + pl'_j \in H$, clearly σ can be viewed as an element of $Aut(H)$ by $\sigma(g) = (1 + pl'_j) * g$. Note that $(\sigma)^* = \sigma$, because,

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(g\sigma(g')) &= Tr(g(1 + pl'_j)g') \\ &= Tr((1 + pl'_j)gg') \\ &= Tr(\sigma(g)g'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $((\sigma)^*)^{-1} = (\sigma)^{-1} = 1 - pl'_j$.

By Proposition 5.6, it follows that:

$$\rho_X(1 - pl'_j)A_{H,H_1} \quad = \quad A_{H,H_1}\rho_X(1 + pl'_j) \tag{12}$$

We note that $\rho_X(1 + pl'_j)$ permutes the blocks $J_{H,s,t}$, and it acts like $\rho_K(k_j)$ when we identify the blocks $J_{H,s,t}$ with their corresponding elements of K. Similarly, $\rho_X(1 - pl'_j)$ acts like $\rho_K(k_j^{-1})$ on the blocks $J_{H,s,t}$.

We will show

$$\rho_K(k_j^{-1})L \quad = \quad L\rho_K(k_j), \tag{13}$$

by showing that the columns of the left hand side equal to the columns of the right hand side. Thus, consider the column of the left hand side of Equation (13) that corresponds to k_s. Clearly, this equals to

$$\rho_K(k_j^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,s} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{p^{2\alpha},s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, this is equal to the left hand side of Equation (12) after we multiply it on the right with the vector:

$$e_{k_s} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the 1's corresponds to the block $\{l_1(1+pl'_s), \ldots, l_{r'}(1+pl'_s)\}$.

Note that when we multiply the right hand side of Equation (12) by e_{k_s} on the right, we get the column that corresponds to k_s in the right hand side of Equation (13). This establishes part 1.

For part 2, consider the matrices:

$$P_\chi = \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha}} \sum_{k \in K} \overline{\chi}(k) \rho_K(k),$$

where $\chi \in \overline{K}$. Clearly, the set of P_χ forms a complete set of orthogonal projections. That is, $\sum_{\chi \in \overline{K}} P_\chi = I$ and $P_\chi P_{\chi'} = \delta_{\chi,\chi'} P_{\chi'}$. Also, one can calculate $P_\chi e_{\chi'} = \delta_{\chi,\chi'} e_{\chi'}$.

By part 1, we can show $P_{\overline{\chi'}} L = L P_{\chi'}$ for all χ'. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\chi'} L e_\chi &= L P_{\overline{\chi'}} e_\chi \\ &= \begin{cases} L e_\chi & \text{If } \chi' = \overline{\chi}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Le_χ belongs to $Im(P_{\overline{\chi}}) = \langle e_{\overline{\chi}} \rangle$. Hence,

$$Le_\chi = \alpha e_{\overline{\chi}}$$

for some complex number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. We can calculate the number α by considering the first row of Le_χ. Clearly, this row has value:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^T e_\chi &= \langle L_0, e_{\overline{\chi}} \rangle \\ &= \frac{\overline{\chi}(L_0)}{p^{2\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the first row of $\alpha e_{\overline{\chi}}$ has value $\frac{\alpha}{p^{2\alpha}}$. Thus, $\alpha = \overline{\chi}(L_0)$. Hence, part 2 follows.

Now, we proceed to show part 3. Note that by part 2:

$$\begin{aligned} Le_\chi &= \overline{\chi}(L_0) e_{\overline{\chi}}, \\ Le_{\overline{\chi}} &= \chi(L_0) e_\chi, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(L_0)\overline{\chi}(L_0) &= \chi(L_0)\overline{\chi}(L_0) e_\chi^T e_{\overline{\chi}} \\ &= (Le_{\overline{\chi}})^T (Le_\chi) \\ &= e_{\overline{\chi}}^T L^T L e_\chi \\ &= e_{\overline{\chi}}^T L^2 e_\chi \\ &= e_{\overline{\chi}}^T (p^{2\alpha-1}(p^{2\alpha} I - J)) e_\chi \\ &= p^{4\alpha-1} e_{\overline{\chi}}^T e_\chi \\ &= p^{4\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, part 3 follows.

Clearly, part 4 follows from Corollary (8.6), since the row sums of B'_H are zero. This forces the row sums of L to be zero. In particular, the sum of all the entries of L_0 are zero. Part 5 follows from parts 3 and 4 by using Fourier Inversion. □

We note that the calculation of $L_0(x)$ depends on the choice of the embedding of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$ in $GR(p^2, 2\alpha+1)$, the choice of the quadratic residues l_i's, and the choice of the trace function. We leave the direct calculation of L_0 as an open problem.

9 Necessary Existence Conditions for $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1} \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$

The previous section derived the calculation of the element L_0. In this section, we will use L_0 to give necessary existence conditions for the family of groups $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1} \times (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 9.1. *Let D be a GSHDS in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$, $H = \{0\} \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1} \subset G$, $L = p \cdot H = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$, and $K = \frac{L}{(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})}$. Then, there are elements A and B in $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ such that:*

1. *The following holds,* $p^{2\alpha}A(x) = \chi_0(A)K(x) + L_0(x) * B(x^{-1})$*, where* χ_0 *is the principal character of* K*.*

2. *The following holds,* $p^{2\alpha}B(x) = \chi_0(B)K(x) + pL_0(x) * A(x^{-1})$*, where* χ_0 *is the principal character of* K*.*

3. *The following holds,* $\chi_0(A) = p^{\alpha-1}\epsilon_0 b_0$*, where* ϵ_0 *is* ± 1 *and* b_0 *is an integer.*

4. *The following holds,* $\chi_0(B) = p^{\alpha}\epsilon_0 a_0$*; where* ϵ_0 *is* ± 1*, and equal to the* ϵ_0 *of part 3; and,* a_0 *is an integer.*

5. *All the coefficients of* $A(x)$ *are odd and* $\chi_0(A)$ *is odd.*

6. *All the coefficients of* $B(x)$ *are odd and* $\chi_0(B)$ *is odd.*

7. *The numbers* a_0 *and* b_0 *are odd integers.*

Proof. Let us assume that there is a GSHDS D in $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{2\alpha+1}$. Let $D' = H \cap D$ and $D'' = L \cap D$. Let d' be the vector of ± 1s representing D' using the H_1 orbit representatives of $\overline{H}$ in Proposition 8.2. Clearly, $d' = (d_0'^T, d_1'^T, \ldots, d_{p^{2\alpha}}'^T)$, where d_i' is a $(p^{2\alpha} + \cdots + p + 1) \times 1$ vector of ± 1s.

Consider the canonical projection $\pi : G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times H \to H$. Clearly, this projection has kernel $M = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Apply Proposition (6.8) to D and π. We have that,

$$A_{H,H_1}\nu_{G,M}(D) \quad = \quad df_G(D,H)$$

Where $\nu_{G,M}(D)$ are the difference intersection numbers of D with respect to M, and $df_G(D,H)$ are the difference coefficients of D with respect to the characters of H extended to G by $\chi \to \chi \circ \pi$. Clearly, by Proposition (3.4) part 3, $df_G(D,H) = p^{\alpha} d_{\overline{D}\cap\overline{H}}$ where $d_{\overline{D}\cap\overline{H}}$ is the ± 1s representation of the $\overline{D} \cap \overline{H}$, and $\overline{D}$ is the dual GSHDS of D introduced in Corollary (2.2). Thus, we have,

$$A_{H,H_1}\nu_{G,M}(D) \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha+1} d_{\overline{D}\cap\overline{H}}$$

We will apply Proposition (3.4) part 1 to the previous equation to deduce,

$$A_{H,H_1} d_{\overline{D}\cap\overline{H}} \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha}\nu_{G,M}(D)$$

Clearly, $\nu_{G,M}(D)$ is a vector of odd numbers with absolute values $\leq |M| = p$. By Proposition (3.4) part 2, not all entries of $\nu_{G,M}(D)$ are divisible by p. Applying the previous argument to the GSHDS $D = \overline{D}$, we deduce,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{H,H_1} d' &= A_{H,H_1} d_{D\cap H} \\ &= p^{2\alpha}\nu_{\overline{G},\overline{M}}(\overline{D}) \\ &= p^{2\alpha}\nu' \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu' = (\nu_0'^T, \nu_1'^T, \ldots, \nu_{p^{2\alpha}}'^T)$ is a vector of odd integers between $-p$ and p whose entries are not all divisible by p.

Using the form of A_{H,H_1} given by Proposition 8.5, we get the following equations:

$$p\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2\alpha}} A_{L,L_1} d'_j \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha}\nu'_0, \tag{14}$$

$$A_{L,L_1} d'_0 + p\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2\alpha}} J_{H,i,j} d'_j \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha}\nu'_i. \tag{15}$$

By taking the inner product of Equation (14) with $\underline{j}$ and using the fact that $A^T_{L,L_1} = A_{L,L_1}$, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2\alpha}} p^{\alpha+1}\epsilon_0\langle d'_j, \underline{j}\rangle \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha}\langle \nu'_0, \underline{j}\rangle,$$

thus,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2\alpha}} \langle d'_j, \underline{j}\rangle \quad = \quad p^{\alpha-1}\epsilon_0\langle \nu'_0, \underline{j}\rangle.$$

Let $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{p^{2\alpha}})^T$, where $a_i = \langle d'_i, \underline{j}\rangle$, and let $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_{p^{2\alpha}})^T$, where $b_i = \langle \nu'_i, \underline{j}\rangle$. Also, let $a_0 = \langle d'_0, \underline{j}\rangle$ and $b_0 = \langle \nu'_0, \underline{j}\rangle$.

We have shown that

$$\langle a, \underline{j}\rangle \quad = \quad p^{\alpha-1}\epsilon_0 b_0. \tag{16}$$

Now, we will take the inner product of Equation (15) with $\underline{j}$, we get:

$$\langle A_{L,L_1} d'_0, \underline{j}\rangle + p\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2\alpha}} \langle J_{H,i,j} d'_j, \underline{j}\rangle \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha}\langle \nu'_i, \underline{j}\rangle,$$

where we used $J^T_{H,i,j} = J_{H,i,j}$. Hence, we have the following simplification:

$$\epsilon_0 p^{\alpha}\langle d'_0, \underline{j}\rangle + p\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2\alpha}} \lambda_{i,j}\langle d'_j, \underline{j}\rangle \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha}\langle \nu'_i, \underline{j}\rangle,$$

or,

$$\epsilon_0 p^{\alpha} a_0 + p\sum_{j=1}^{p^{2\alpha}} \lambda_{i,j} a_j \quad = \quad p^{2\alpha} b_i,$$

thus, we can deduce the following matrix equation:

$$p^{2\alpha-1} b \quad = \quad p^{\alpha-1}\epsilon_0 a_0 \underline{j} + Lb. \tag{17}$$

Performing the same analysis but starting with the equation $A_{H,H_1}\nu' = p^{2\alpha+1}d'$, we get the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, \underline{j}\rangle &= p^{\alpha}\epsilon_0 a_0, & (18)\\ p^{2\alpha}a &= \epsilon_0 p^{\alpha-1}b_0\underline{j} + Lb. & (19)\end{aligned}$$

Let $A(x)$ be the element of $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ that has value a_i at k_i, and $B(x)$ be the element of $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ that has value b_i at k_i. We will use Equation (17) to establish a condition on the character values of $A(x)$ and $B(x)$.

Let χ be a nonprincipal character of K. Equation (17) gives:

$$p^{2\alpha-1}\langle b, e_\chi\rangle = \epsilon_0 a_0 p^{\alpha-1}\langle \underline{j}, e_\chi\rangle + \langle La, e_\chi\rangle.$$

Since χ is nonprincipal, we have $\langle \underline{j}, e_\chi\rangle = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} p^{2\alpha-1}\langle e_\chi, b\rangle &= \langle e_\chi, La\rangle\\ &= \langle Le_\chi, a\rangle\\ &= \langle \overline{\chi}(L_0)e_{\overline{\chi}}, a\rangle\\ &= \overline{\chi}(L_0)\langle e_{\overline{\chi}}, a\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since, for any a, $\langle e_\chi, a\rangle = \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha}}\overline{\chi}(a)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p^{2\alpha-1}\overline{\chi}(B) &= \overline{\chi}(L_0)\chi(A),\\ p^{2\alpha-1}\chi(B) &= \chi(L_0)\overline{\chi}(A). & (20)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using Equation (19), we get:

$$p^{2\alpha}\chi(A) = \chi(L_0)\overline{\chi}(B). \quad (21)$$

To show part 1, suffices to show that the left hand side of part 1 and right hand side of part 1 have the same character values; the equation will follow by Fourier inversion in K. Note that for any nonprincipal character, Equation (21) shows that the left hand side of part 1 and the right hand side of part 1, do indeed, have the same character values. Suffices to show that the same holds for the principal character.

The principal character of the left hand side of part 1 is $p^{2\alpha}\chi_0(A)$. On the other hand, the principal character of the right hand side is:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_0(\chi_0(A)K(x) + L_0(x) * B(x^{-1})) &= \chi_0(A)p^{2\alpha} + \chi_0(L_0)\overline{\chi}(B)\\ &= \chi_0(A)p^{2\alpha},\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\chi_0(L_0) = 0$. This shows that part 1, does indeed, agree on both sides at the principal character. Thus, part 1 follows.

To show part 2, we use a similar analysis. By using Equation (20), we can deduce that the left hand side of part 2 has the same character value as the right hand side whenever the character used is nonprincipal. Thus, it suffices to

show the same for the principal character χ_0. Clearly, the principal character of the left hand side of part 2 is $p^{2\alpha}\chi_0(B)$. On the other hand, the principal character of the right hand side is:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_0(\chi_0(B)K(x) + pL_0(x) * A(x^{-1})) &= \chi_0(B)p^{2\alpha} + p\chi_0(L_0)\overline{\chi}(A) \\ &= \chi_0(B)p^{2\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, part 2 follows.

Clearly, part 3 is Equation (16) and part 4 is Equation (18).

We will show parts 5, 6, and 7 together. First, we will show that a_i is odd for $i = 0, \ldots, r'$. This will show that: all the coefficients of A are odd; a_0 is odd; $\chi_0(B)$ is odd, by part 4; $\chi_0(A)$ is odd, since it is the sum of an odd number of odd numbers; and b_0 is odd, by part 3. Finally, we will show that b_i is odd for $i = 1, \ldots, r'$.

Consider $a_i = \langle d'_i, \underline{j} \rangle$. Clearly, d'_i is a vector of ± 1s of length $r' = p^{2\alpha} + \cdots + p + 1$. Let x be the number of entries in d'_i that are $+1$, and let y be the number of entries of d'_i that are -1. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}x - y &= a_i, \\ x + y &= r'.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $r' = 1 \bmod 2$. Hence, the above equations mod 2 give $a_i = x - y = x + y = r' = 1$. Thus, a_i is odd.

Suffices to show b_i is odd for $i = 1, \ldots, r'$. By manipulating Equation (19) algebraically we can deduce:

$$p^{2\alpha}a - \epsilon_0 p^{\alpha-1} b_0 \underline{j} = Lb.$$

By multiplying the above equation by L on both sides, and simplyfing L^2 by using proposition 8.7; we deduce.

$$L(p^{2\alpha}a - \epsilon_0 p^{\alpha-1} b_0 \underline{j}) = p^{2\alpha-1}(p^{2\alpha}I - J)b. \tag{22}$$

Note that mod 2,

$$\begin{aligned}p^{2\alpha}a - \epsilon_0 p^{\alpha-1} b_0 \underline{j} &= \underline{j} - \underline{j} \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

hence, Equation (22) mod 2 implies:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= (I - J)b \\ &= b - \chi_0(B)\underline{j} \\ &= b - \underline{j}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, all the entries of b are odd. □

We leave as an open problem to determine the feasibility of the elements $A(x), B(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[K]$ derived in proposition 9.1. We close this section with extra conditions when $\alpha = 1$.

Proposition 9.2. *Under the assumptions of Proposition (9.1), assume that* $\alpha = 1$. *Then,*

1. *The set* $D'' = D \cap L$ *is a GSHDS.*
2. *The following holds,* $\nu_{G,H'}(D) = p^2 d_{D\cap L}$, *where* $H' = \{g \in G \mid p \cdot g = 0\}$.

Proof. We proceed using a similar argument as that of Proposition (9.1). By considering Equation (15), we conclude that p divides all entries of $A_{L,L_1} d_{D\cap L}$. Hence, by Proposition (3.4) part 3, $D \cap L$ is a GSHDS. This shows part 1.

Clearly, a similar argument shows that $\overline{D}\cap\overline{L}$ is also a GSHDS. Now, consider the projection $\pi' = \mu_p : G \to p \cdot G = L$ and apply Proposition (6.8) to the pair D, π'. Clearly,

$$A_{L,L_1}\nu_{G,H'}(D) \quad = \quad df_G(D, L)$$

Where $\nu_{G,H'}(D)$ are the difference intersection numbers of D with respect to H', and $df_G(D, L)$ are the difference coefficients of D with respect to the characters of L extended to G by $\chi \to \chi \circ \pi'$. By Proposition (3.4) part 3, $df_G(D, L) = p^\alpha d_{\overline{D}\cap\overline{L}}$ where $d_{\overline{D}\cap\overline{H}}$ is the $\pm$1s representation of the QRS $\overline{D} \cap \overline{L}$, and $\overline{D}$ is the dual GSHDS of D introduced in Corollary (2.2). Thus, we have,

$$A_{L,L_1}\nu_{G,H'}(D) \quad = \quad p^3 d_{\overline{D}\cap\overline{L}}$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} p^2\nu_{G,H'}(D) &= A^2_{L,L_1}\nu_{G,H'}(D) \\ &= p^3 A_{L,L_1} d_{\overline{D}\cap\overline{L}} \\ &= p^3 p d_{D\cap L} \text{ because } \overline{D} \cap \overline{L} \text{ is a GSHDS} \\ &= p^4 d_{D\cap L}, \end{aligned}$$

Where, we have used the fact that $\overline{\overline{D}} = D$. Hence, the second part follows. □

10 Special p-divisibility Conditions

We close this article by showing special p-divisibility conditions for the Difference Intersection Numbers $\nu_{G,L}(D)$ for special families of groups L.

Proposition 10.1. *Let* D *be a GSHDS in* G. *Assume that* $exp(G) = p^s$, *and* $k \leq s - 1$. *Consider the map* $\mu_{p^k} : G \to G$ *by* $\mu_{p^k}(g) = p^k \cdot g$. *Let* $L = Ker(\mu_{p^k}) = \{g \in G \mid p^k \cdot g = 0\}$, *and* $H = \mu_{p^k}(G) = p^k \cdot G$. *Then,*

1. *There are integer constants* $a_{p^k}, b_{p^k}, c_{p^k}$ *such that,*

$$D(x)^{p^k} \quad = \quad c_{p^k}[1] + a_{p^k} D(x) + b_{p^k} D(x^{n_0})$$

2. *Let $D_H = D \cap H$, assume the coset decomposition $G = L \cup g_1 L \cup \cdots \cup g_r L$. Let $h_i = \mu_{p^k}(g_i)$, and assume that $h_{i_1}, \ldots, h_{i_t}$ is a set of $H_1 = (\mathbb{Z}/exp(H)\mathbb{Z})^*$ orbit representatives. Then, the following holds in $\mathbb{Z}[H]$,*

$$\begin{aligned}(a_{p^k} - b_{p^k})(D_H(x) - D_H(x^{n_0})) &= \sum_{k=1}^{t} \nu_{G,L}(g_{i_k}, D)(O_{h_{i_k}}(x) - O_{h_{i_k}}(x^{n_0})) \\ &\quad + p^k(C_H(x) - C_H(x^{n_0}))\end{aligned}$$

Where O_{h_i} is the $H_2 = (\mathbb{Z}/exp(H)\mathbb{Z})^{*2}$ orbit of $h_i \in H$, and $C_H \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$.

3. *The exact power of p dividing $a_{p^k} - b_{p^k}$ is p^k.*

4. *The Difference Intersection numbers $\nu_{G,L}(h_i, D)$ are divisible by p^k.*

Proof. We will consider the association scheme $A(G) = \langle [1], D(x), D(x^{n_0}) \rangle$. By Proposition (2.1) part 1, this association scheme is 3-dimensional. Thus, for each p^k, there are integers $c_{p^k}, a_{p^k}, b_{p^k}$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned}D(x)^{p^k} &= c_{p^k}[1] + a_{p^k} D(x) + b_{p^k} D(x^{n_0}) \\ (D(x^{n_0}))^{p^k} &= c_{p^k}[1] + b_{p^k} D(x) + a_{p^k} D(x^{n_0})\end{aligned}$$

Hence, part 1 follows. Clearly,

$$D(x)^{p^k} - (D(x^{n_0}))^{p^k} = (a_{p^k} - b_{p^k})(D(x) - D(x^{n_0}))$$

Also, by the multinomial theorem applied to $D(x)^{p^k}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$,

$$\begin{aligned}D(x)^{p^k} &= \mu_{p^k} \cdot D(x) + p^k C(x) \\ (D(x^{n_0}))^{p^k} &= \mu_{p^k} \cdot D(x^{n_0}) + p^k C(x^{n_0})\end{aligned}$$

Where $\mu_{p^k} \cdot D(x) = \sum\{x^{p^k \cdot g} \mid g \in D\}$, and $C(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{p^k} \cdot (D(x) - D(x^{n_0})) + p^k(C(x) - C(x^{n_0})) &= D(x)^{p^k} - (D(x^{n_0}))^{p^k} \\ &= (a_{p^k} - b_{p^k})(D(x) - D(x^{n_0}))\end{aligned}$$

We restrict the previous equation to H. Thus, we deduce a similar equation in $\mathbb{Z}[H]$,

$$\begin{aligned}(a_{p^k} - b_{p^k})(D_H(x) - D_H(x^{n_0})) &= \mu_{p^k} \cdot (D(x) - D(x^{n_0})) \\ &\quad + p^k(C_H(x) - C_H(x^{n_0}))\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Clearly,

$$\mu_{p^k} \cdot (D(x) - D(x^{n_0})) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \nu_{G,L}(g_i, D) x^{[h_i]}$$

Note that for $n \in (\mathbb{Z}/exp(H)\mathbb{Z})^*$,

$$\nu_{G,L}(n \cdot g, D) = \left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\nu_{G,L}(g, D)$$

Hence, part 2 follows from Equation (23).

Now, we proceed to show part 3. Let $\chi \in \overline{G}$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(D) &= \frac{-1 + p^{\alpha}\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2} \\ \chi(D^{(n_0)}) &= \frac{-1 - p^{\alpha}\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, by using the binomial theorem,

$$\chi(D)^{p^k} - \chi(D^{(n_0)})^{p^k} = \frac{1}{2^{p^k-1}} \sum_{f=0}^{\frac{p^k-1}{2}} \binom{p^k}{2f+1} p^{\alpha(2f+1)+f} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^f \sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(D)^{p^k} - \chi(D^{(n_0)})^{p^k} &= (a_{p^k} - b_{p^k})(\chi(D) - \chi(D^{(n_0)})) \\ &= (a_{p^k} - b_{p^k})p^{\alpha}\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)p}\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by equating the last two equations, we get,

$$a_{p^k} - b_{p^k} = \frac{1}{2^{p^k-1}} \sum_{f=0}^{\frac{p^k-1}{2}} \binom{p^k}{2f+1} p^{(2\alpha+1)f} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^f$$

Clearly, every term in the sum above is divisible by p^k and the first term of the sum is p^k. Hence, the highest power of p dividing $a_{p^k} - b_{p^k}$ is p^k. This shows part 3.

Part 4 follows from parts 2 and 3. □

11 Conclusion

We close this article by thanking the referees for their valuable criticism and for pointing out the connection between GSHDSs and Lam's generalized cyclic difference sets.

References

[1] Eiichi Bannai and Tatsuro Ito. *Algebraic Combinatorics I, Association Schemes*. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Menlo Park, California, 1984.

[2] Paul Camion and H.B. Mann. Antisymmetric difference sets. *J. Number Theory*, 4(3):266–268, 1972.

[3] Yu Qing Chen, Qing Xiang, and Surinder K. Sehgal. An exponent bound on skew hadamard abelian difference sets. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 4(4):313–317, 1994.

[4] D. Jungnickel. Difference sets. In J. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson, editors, *Contemporary Design Theory, A Collection of Surveys*, Wiley Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, pages 241–324. Wiley Interscience, 1992.

[5] Clement W. Lam. A generalization of cyclic difference sets i. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, (19):51–65, 1975.

[6] Clement W. Lam. A generalization of cyclic difference sets ii. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, (19):177–191, 1975.

[7] E.S. Lander. *Symmetric Designs, An Algebraic Approach*. Cambridge University Press, Oxford, England, 1983.

[8] Ka Hin Leung and Siu Lun Ma. Partial difference sets with paley parameters. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 27:553–564, 1995.

[9] Jurgen Neukirch. *Algebraic Number Theory*, volume 322 of *A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1999.